

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Sep 25, 2023 – 10:20 AM JST

PDB ID : 7VKV BMRB ID : 36447

Title : NMR structure of the zeta-subunit of the F1F0-ATPase from Sinorhizobium

meliloti

Authors: Yang, L.Y.; Mendoza-Hoffmann, F.; Buratto, D.

Deposited on : 2021-10-01

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.35.1

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 77%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$egin{array}{c} { m NMR \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	
Clashscore	158937	12864	
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain	
1	X	104	82%	10% • 5% •



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 20 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *target function*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core   Residue range (total)   Backbone RMSD (Å)   Medoid model					
1	X:4-X:99 (96)	1.35	20		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 5 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	5, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20
2	2, 3, 4, 6, 9
3	7, 8, 16
4	12, 13, 17
5	1, 11
Single-model clusters	10



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1582 atoms, of which 790 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called zeta-subunit.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms				Trace	
1	v	101	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	Λ	101	1582	497	790	138	155	2	U




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: zeta-subunit



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 20. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: zeta-subunit





#### Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: molecular dynamics.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: target function.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
UNIO	structure calculation	
CYANA	structure calculation	
GROMACS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1025
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1025
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	77%



# 6 Model quality (i)

## 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Е	Sond lengths	Bond angles		
WIOI	Chain	RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5	
1	X	$0.76 \pm 0.03$	$0\pm0/760~(~0.0\pm~0.0\%)$	$1.13 \pm 0.05$	$3\pm 2/1023$ ( $0.3\pm~0.2\%$ )	
All	All	0.76	0/15200 ( 0.0%)	1.13	68/20460 ( 0.3%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	X	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.6$
All	All	0	6

There are no bond-length outliers.

5 of 19 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Mol Chain R		Type	Atoms 7	7	$Observed(^o)$	$\mathrm{Ideal}(^{o})$	Models	
MIOI	Chain	nes	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed()	ideai( )	Worst	Total
1	X	84	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	13.41	127.00	120.30	9	11
1	X	25	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	9.91	125.25	120.30	3	7
1	X	65	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	9.79	125.20	120.30	17	8
1	X	86	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	9.25	124.92	120.30	3	8
1	X	26	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	8.40	124.50	120.30	8	2

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$\operatorname{Res}$	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	X	46	TYR	Sidechain	2
1	X	84	ARG	Sidechain	1
1	X	65	ARG	Sidechain	1

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	X	25	ARG	Sidechain	1
1	X	86	ARG	Sidechain	1

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	X	750	750	750	0±1
All	All	15000	15000	15000	8

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 0.

5 of 7 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	$n(A) \mid Distance(A)$		Total
1:X:22:ALA:HB2	1:X:95:VAL:HG13	0.46	1.87	8	1
1:X:26:ARG:HG3	1:X:30:LEU:HD23	0.45	1.88	18	1
1:X:46:TYR:CZ	1:X:50:ILE:HD11	0.44	2.48	12	1
1:X:46:TYR:CE1	1:X:50:ILE:HD11	0.44	2.48	12	1
1:X:22:ALA:HB2	1:X:95:VAL:CG1	0.42	2.44	15	2

## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

## 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	X	96/104 (92%)	86±2 (89±2%)	9±2 (10±2%)	1±1 (1±1%)	26 73
All	All	1920/2080 (92%)	1717 (89%)	189 (10%)	14 (1%)	26 73

5 of 7 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of



occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	X	60	HIS	7
1	X	15	ASP	2
1	X	56	GLU	1
1	X	43	PRO	1
1	X	59	GLY	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	X	74/82 (90%)	58±3 (79±4%)	16±3 (21±4%)	3	31
All	All	1480/1640 (90%)	1163 (79%)	317 (21%)	3	31

5 of 51 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	V -	Models (Total)
1	X	6	LYS	19
1	X	84	ARG	18
1	X	4	ARG	15
1	X	29	LEU	14
1	X	23	THR	12

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.



## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 77% for the well-defined parts and 74% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: starch\_output

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1025
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1025
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	97	$-0.29 \pm 0.09$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	91	$0.26 \pm 0.10$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
<sup>13</sup> C′	93	$-0.36 \pm 0.11$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{15}N$	93	$-0.08 \pm 0.20$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

## 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 77%, i.e. 1010 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1314. 0 out of 16 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	467/482~(97%)	190/195 (97%)	186/192 (97%)	91/95 (96%)
Sidechain	524/754 (69%)	355/489 (73%)	166/234 (71%)	3/31 (10%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	19/78 (24%)	12/39 (31%)	7/37 (19%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	1010/1314 (77%)	557/723 (77%)	359/463~(78%)	94/128 (73%)


#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain X:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

## 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	969
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	270
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	286
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	277
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	136
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	174
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	11.0
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	1.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

## 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

## 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	37.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	49.0	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	61.0	4.03



## 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	8.0	10.0
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	2.5	19.97
>20.0 (Large)	0.5	33.21



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

## 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Donton into tom o	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vi	olated	3	Consis	tently	$\overline{ m Violated}^4$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	270	27.9	74	27.4	7.6	3	1.1	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	221	22.8	58	26.2	6.0	2	0.9	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	48	5.0	16	33.3	1.7	1	2.1	0.1
Sequential ( i-j =1)	286	29.5	84	29.4	8.7	3	1.0	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	84	8.7	6	7.1	0.6	1	1.2	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	175	18.1	61	34.9	6.3	2	1.1	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	27	2.8	17	63.0	1.8	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	277	28.6	138	49.8	14.2	10	3.6	1.0
Backbone-Backbone	95	9.8	26	27.4	2.7	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	129	13.3	74	57.4	7.6	7	5.4	0.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	53	5.5	38	71.7	3.9	3	5.7	0.3
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	136	14.0	82	60.3	8.5	1	0.7	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	5	0.5	2	40.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	63	6.5	35	55.6	3.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	68	7.0	45	66.2	4.6	1	1.5	0.1
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	969	100.0	378	39.0	39.0	17	1.8	1.8
Backbone-Backbone	185	19.1	34	18.4	3.5	1	0.5	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	588	60.7	228	38.8	23.5	11	1.9	1.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	196	20.2	116	59.2	12.0	5	2.6	0.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Magn (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Modian (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (A)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
1	29	34	53	29	0	145	0.63	2.4	0.5	0.49
2	25	33	53	30	0	141	0.59	2.43	0.51	0.38
3	27	37	51	25	0	140	0.6	2.91	0.59	0.32
4	25	36	56	36	0	153	0.76	3.99	0.67	0.52
5	25	33	59	32	0	149	0.57	2.45	0.53	0.35
6	26	38	61	28	0	153	0.62	2.64	0.56	0.43
7	30	42	53	30	0	155	0.69	4.03	0.66	0.43
8	24	39	61	39	0	163	0.65	2.45	0.57	0.41
9	26	38	48	31	0	143	0.63	3.32	0.63	0.32
10	31	40	63	32	0	166	0.61	3.43	0.58	0.37
11	27	35	52	31	0	145	0.52	2.74	0.52	0.32

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$ m MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
12	26	38	49	34	0	147	0.69	3.44	0.59	0.46
13	21	33	51	17	0	122	0.51	3.1	0.52	0.32
14	22	43	56	22	0	143	0.61	3.21	0.57	0.37
15	28	37	53	25	0	143	0.57	3.24	0.51	0.39
16	33	39	52	31	0	155	0.67	3.4	0.63	0.43
17	23	36	51	26	0	136	0.6	3.05	0.57	0.34
18	27	30	58	36	0	151	0.61	2.49	0.56	0.38
19	30	37	66	36	0	169	0.65	2.4	0.56	0.4
20	31	44	59	36	0	170	0.72	3.86	0.64	0.5

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



#### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 591(IR:196, SQ:202, MR:139, LR:54, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
13	14	19	13	0	59	1	5.0
7	5	14	10	0	36	2	10.0
8	7	13	7	0	35	3	15.0
3	3	10	6	0	22	4	20.0
6	2	8	3	0	19	5	25.0
2	3	5	3	0	13	6	30.0
1	5	4	2	0	12	7	35.0
6	2	9	2	0	19	8	40.0
5	7	4	6	0	22	9	45.0
3	5	4	8	0	20	10	50.0
3	4	8	1	0	16	11	55.0
4	2	6	6	0	18	12	60.0
1	1	6	2	0	10	13	65.0
1	3	4	1	0	9	14	70.0
3	0	3	2	0	8	15	75.0
2	5	2	3	0	12	16	80.0
0	6	3	2	0	11	17	85.0
1	5	3	0	0	9	18	90.0
2	2	3	4	0	11	19	95.0
3	3	10	1	0	17	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

## 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,612)	1:26:X:ARG:HG3	1:30:X:LEU:HB3	20	2.63	0.81	2.98
(1,677)	1:85:X:VAL:HG21	1:89:X:GLU:HG3	20	2.27	0.34	2.4
(1,677)	1:85:X:VAL:HG22	1:89:X:GLU:HG3	20	2.27	0.34	2.4
(1,677)	1:85:X:VAL:HG23	1:89:X:GLU:HG3	20	2.27	0.34	2.4
(1,559)	1:95:X:VAL:HA	1:97:X:GLN:HE22	20	1.49	0.32	1.44
(1,865)	1:85:X:VAL:HG21	1:86:X:ARG:HA	20	1.13	0.06	1.13
(1,865)	1:85:X:VAL:HG22	1:86:X:ARG:HA	20	1.13	0.06	1.13
(1,865)	1:85:X:VAL:HG23	1:86:X:ARG:HA	20	1.13	0.06	1.13
(1,130)	1:37:X:LEU:HD21	1:39:X:ALA:H	20	1.11	0.39	1.24
(1,130)	1:37:X:LEU:HD22	1:39:X:ALA:H	20	1.11	0.39	1.24
(1,130)	1:37:X:LEU:HD23	1:39:X:ALA:H	20	1.11	0.39	1.24
(1,131)	1:4:X:ARG:H	1:6:X:LYS:HG2	20	1.05	0.31	0.96
(1,630)	1:82:X:ASP:HB3	1:85:X:VAL:HG11	20	0.77	0.24	0.74
(1,630)	1:82:X:ASP:HB3	1:85:X:VAL:HG12	20	0.77	0.24	0.74
(1,630)	1:82:X:ASP:HB3	1:85:X:VAL:HG13	20	0.77	0.24	0.74
(1,720)	1:31:X:GLY:HA3	1:34:X:ALA:HB1	20	0.63	0.28	0.65

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,720)	1:31:X:GLY:HA3	1:34:X:ALA:HB2	20	0.63	0.28	0.65
(1,720)	1:31:X:GLY:HA3	1:34:X:ALA:HB3	20	0.63	0.28	0.65
(1,458)	1:71:X:PHE:HB2	1:76:X:VAL:HG11	20	0.51	0.31	0.33
(1,458)	1:71:X:PHE:HB2	1:76:X:VAL:HG12	20	0.51	0.31	0.33
(1,458)	1:71:X:PHE:HB2	1:76:X:VAL:HG13	20	0.51	0.31	0.33
(1,628)	1:82:X:ASP:HA	1:85:X:VAL:HG11	20	0.47	0.2	0.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

#### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	7	4.03
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	4	3.99
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	20	3.86
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	20	3.86
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD21	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	20	3.86
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	20	3.86
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	20	3.86
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD22	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	20	3.86
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD11	20	3.86
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD12	20	3.86
(1,574)	1:18:X:LEU:HD23	1:98:X:LEU:HD13	20	3.86
(1,612)	1:26:X:ARG:HG3	1:30:X:LEU:HB3	12	3.44
(1,612)	1:26:X:ARG:HG3	1:30:X:LEU:HB3	10	3.43
(1,612)	1:26:X:ARG:HG3	1:30:X:LEU:HB3	16	3.4
(1,612)	1:26:X:ARG:HG3	1:30:X:LEU:HB3	9	3.32
(1,612)	1:26:X:ARG:HG3	1:30:X:LEU:HB3	4	3.24
(1,612)	1:26:X:ARG:HG3	1:30:X:LEU:HB3	15	3.24
(1,612)	1:26:X:ARG:HG3	1:30:X:LEU:HB3	14	3.21



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


## 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vie	olated	3	Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	<b>%</b> ¹
PHI	87	50.0	36	41.4	20.7	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	87	50.0	40	46.0	23.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	174	100.0	76	43.7	43.7	0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




## 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Num	iber o	f violations	Moon (°)	Mor (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Wiodei 1D	PHI	PSI	Total	$Mean (^{\circ})$	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$	$\mathbf{SD} \ (^{\circ})$	Median ( )
1	8	9	17	6.36	25.94	6.14	4.54
2	8	10	18	7.44	20.14	5.66	4.77
3	6	6	12	15.71	33.21	9.94	12.96
4	3	4	7	6.39	16.61	4.95	4.45
5	10	8	18	6.64	23.01	5.78	4.58
6	5	8	13	6.32	17.89	5.31	3.63
7	5	3	8	10.51	27.42	7.87	9.07
8	8	8	16	7.57	19.17	5.65	4.83
9	5	6	11	7.15	16.42	4.64	5.4
10	5	5	10	4.11	8.78	2.54	3.68
11	6	5	11	10.16	27.03	8.31	7.0
12	5	2	7	7.89	14.32	4.72	6.0
13	6	2	8	9.76	20.93	5.74	7.28
14	4	3	7	6.73	17.76	5.14	4.2
15	5	2	7	8.34	15.89	6.05	5.24
16	5	6	11	6.78	19.87	5.47	5.2
17	3	5	8	4.72	13.8	4.25	3.01
18	8	9	17	6.24	18.02	4.63	4.74
19	6	2	8	5.66	14.58	3.7	4.9
20	4	2	6	5.74	10.17	3.29	5.52



#### 10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

## 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	iber o	of violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PHI	PSI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
14	15	29	1	5.0
8	11	19	2	10.0
4	4	8	3	15.0
4	4	8	4	20.0
0	3	3	5	25.0
2	1	3	6	30.0
1	0	1	7	35.0
0	1	1	8	40.0
1	0	1	9	45.0
0	0	0	10	50.0
0	1	1	11	55.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Num	ber o	f violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PHI	PSI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
0	0	0	12	60.0
1	0	1	13	65.0
0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	15	75.0
1	0	1	16	80.0
0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	20	100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

#### 10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




## 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}^2$	Median
(1,39)	1:22:X:ALA:C	1:23:X:THR:N	1:23:X:THR:CA	1:23:X:THR:C	16	5.18	4.44	4.23
(1,167)	1:94:X:ALA:C	1:95:X:VAL:N	1:95:X:VAL:CA	1:95:X:VAL:C	13	10.06	6.32	7.18
(1,126)	1:74:X:ALA:N	1:74:X:ALA:CA	1:74:X:ALA:C	1:75:X:GLY:N	11	11.34	7.07	10.0
(1,99)	1:57:X:GLU:C	1:58:X:ALA:N	1:58:X:ALA:CA	1:58:X:ALA:C	9	13.88	4.81	11.81
(1,166)	1:94:X:ALA:N	1:94:X:ALA:CA	1:94:X:ALA:C	1:95:X:VAL:N	8	9.26	5.12	10.2
(1,93)	1:52:X:ALA:C	1:53:X:ALA:N	1:53:X:ALA:CA	1:53:X:ALA:C	7	13.14	7.3	14.89
(1,125)	1:73:X:ALA:C	1:74:X:ALA:N	1:74:X:ALA:CA	1:74:X:ALA:C	6	5.96	2.41	5.66
(1,47)	1:26:X:ARG:C	1:27:X:ASN:N	1:27:X:ASN:CA	1:27:X:ASN:C	6	3.87	2.08	3.66
(1,24)	1:14:X:LEU:N	1:14:X:LEU:CA	1:14:X:LEU:C	1:15:X:ASP:N	6	3.65	0.82	3.7
(1,128)	1:75:X:GLY:N	1:75:X:GLY:CA	1:75:X:GLY:C	1:76:X:VAL:N	5	16.09	6.81	18.02


 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Number of violated models,  $^2\mathrm{Standard}$  deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

#### 10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation $(^{\circ})$
(1,97)	1:56:X:GLU:C	1:57:X:GLU:N	1:57:X:GLU:CA	1:57:X:GLU:C	3	33.21
(1,100)	1:58:X:ALA:N	1:58:X:ALA:CA	1:58:X:ALA:C	1:59:X:GLY:N	3	32.03
(1,1)	1:2:X:GLN:C	1:3:X:ASP:N	1:3:X:ASP:CA	1:3:X:ASP:C	7	27.42
(1,126)	1:74:X:ALA:N	1:74:X:ALA:CA	1:74:X:ALA:C	1:75:X:GLY:N	11	27.03
(1,167)	1:94:X:ALA:C	1:95:X:VAL:N	1:95:X:VAL:CA	1:95:X:VAL:C	3	26.86
(1,93)	1:52:X:ALA:C	1:53:X:ALA:N	1:53:X:ALA:CA	1:53:X:ALA:C	11	26.48
(1,91)	1:51:X:VAL:C	1:52:X:ALA:N	1:52:X:ALA:CA	1:52:X:ALA:C	1	25.94
(1,128)	1:75:X:GLY:N	1:75:X:GLY:CA	1:75:X:GLY:C	1:76:X:VAL:N	5	23.01
(1,126)	1:74:X:ALA:N	1:74:X:ALA:CA	1:74:X:ALA:C	1:75:X:GLY:N	13	20.93
(1,99)	1:57:X:GLU:C	1:58:X:ALA:N	1:58:X:ALA:CA	1:58:X:ALA:C	2	20.14

