

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Jun 3, 2023 – 11:43 PM EDT

PDB ID : 7S55 BMRB ID : 30949

> Title : NMR Solution Structure of Cter 27 Authors : Harvey, P.J.; Dang, T.T.; Craik, D.J.

Deposited on : 2021-09-09

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 86%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive	
Metric	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	
Clashscore	158937	12864	
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	31	100%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 2 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model					
1	A:1-A:31 (31)	0.60	2		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13, 18, 20
2	4, 6, 12, 14, 16, 17
3	7, 8, 10, 15
Single-model clusters	19



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 442 atoms, of which 220 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Cliotide T10.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	Λ	21	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	A	91	442	139	220	35	42	6	U



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10	
Chain A:	100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10





4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 6%



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 84% 16%



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 6%



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 6%



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10





4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 6%



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 6%



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 6%



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 69



4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10





4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 6%



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 94% 6%



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A:



4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 81% 19%





4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10

Chain A: 100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: Cliotide T10





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the least restraint violations.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	
CYANA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	324
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	324
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	86%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	222	220	220	1±1
All	All	4440	4400	4400	19

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:8:CYS:HA	1:A:11:ILE:O	0.54	2.02	18	2
1:A:6:GLU:OE2	1:A:13:CYS:HB3	0.53	2.03	7	1
1:A:27:VAL:HB	1:A:29:TYR:CE2	0.49	2.42	13	6
1:A:6:GLU:OE1	1:A:13:CYS:HB3	0.48	2.09	10	1
1:A:8:CYS:HB2	1:A:11:ILE:O	0.46	2.11	6	1
1:A:27:VAL:HB	1:A:29:TYR:CE1	0.46	2.46	12	4
1:A:16:THR:HB	1:A:21:CYS:SG	0.45	2.51	5	1
1:A:17:ALA:HA	1:A:21:CYS:O	0.41	2.15	8	1
1:A:21:CYS:SG	1:A:30:LYS:HB2	0.41	2.55	18	2



6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Favoured Allowed		Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	$29/31\ (94\%)$	28±1 (96±3%)	1±1 (4±3%)	0±0 (0±1%)	50 82
All	All	$580/620 \ (94\%)$	557 (96%)	22 (4%)	1 (0%)	50 82

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	12	PRO	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles			
1	A	27/27 (100%)	27±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100		
All	All	540/540 (100%)	540 (100%)	0 (0%)	100	100		

There are no protein residues with a non-rotameric sidechain to report.

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.



6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 86% for the well-defined parts and 86% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: Cter27ambi.shifts

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	324
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	324
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction} \pm {\rm precision}, ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	29	-0.40 ± 0.32	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	28	0.08 ± 0.41	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
¹³ C′	0		None (insufficient data)
^{15}N	27	-0.56 ± 0.58	None needed (imprecise)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 86%, i.e. 323 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 375. 0 out of 5 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	118/154 (77%)	62/63~(98%)	29/62~(47%)	27/29 (93%)
Sidechain	197/203 (97%)	134/134 (100%)	62/65~(95%)	1/4 (25%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Aromatic	8/18 (44%)	8/8 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	323/375 (86%)	204/205 (100%)	91/137 (66%)	28/33 (85%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 86%, i.e. 323 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 375. 0 out of 5 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	118/154 (77%)	62/63~(98%)	29/62~(47%)	27/29 (93%)
Sidechain	197/203 (97%)	134/134 (100%)	62/65~(95%)	1/4 (25%)
Aromatic	8/18 (44%)	8/8 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	323/375 (86%)	204/205 (100%)	91/137 (66%)	28/33 (85%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	261
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	79
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	96
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	19
Long range (i-j ≥5)	47
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	20
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	46
Number of unmapped restraints	5
Number of restraints per residue	9.9
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	2.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	0.2	0.13
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	None	None
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	\mathbf{Max} (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.3	1.8
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Dantuninta tema	C	% ¹	Vio	${f Violated^3}$			tentl	${ m y~Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	79	30.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	79	30.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	96	36.8	3	3.1	1.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	32	12.3	1	3.1	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	59	22.6	2	3.4	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	5	1.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	19	7.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	4	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	9	3.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	2.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	47	18.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	9	3.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	22	8.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	16	6.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	20	7.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	261	100.0	3	1.1	1.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	65	24.9	1	1.5	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	169	64.8	2	1.2	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	27	10.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	M (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^6 (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (Å)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (Å)
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.13	0.13	0.0	0.13
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	$ m MR^3$	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	SD'(A)	Median (A)
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.13	0.13	0.0	0.13
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 238(IR:79, SQ:93, MR:19, LR:47, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	2	0	0	0	2	1	5.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations



9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,229)	1:A:24:LYS:HG2	1:A:25:ASP:H	2	0.13	0.0	0.13
(1,229)	1:A:24:LYS:HG3	1:A:25:ASP:H	2	0.13	0.0	0.13


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,229)	1:A:24:LYS:HG2	1:A:25:ASP:H	10	0.13
(1,229)	1:A:24:LYS:HG3	1:A:25:ASP:H	10	0.13
(1,229)	1:A:24:LYS:HG2	1:A:25:ASP:H	18	0.13
(1,229)	1:A:24:LYS:HG3	1:A:25:ASP:H	18	0.13
(1,21)	1:A:8:CYS:H	1:A:9:VAL:HG11	20	0.11
(1,21)	1:A:8:CYS:H	1:A:9:VAL:HG12	20	0.11
(1,21)	1:A:8:CYS:H	1:A:9:VAL:HG13	20	0.11
(1,172)	1:A:9:VAL:H	1:A:10:TYR:HA	6	0.11



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vic	$\mathbf{lated}^{:}$	3	Consis	tentl	$y Violated^4$
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	23	50.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI1	11	23.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	12	26.1	3	25.0	6.5	0	0.0	0.0
Total	46	100.0	3	6.5	6.5	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Nun	nber of	viola	tions	Moon (°)	Mov (°)	SD (°)	Modian (°)
Model 1D	PHI	CHI1	PSI	Total	$ig ext{Mean} \ (^{\circ})$	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$	$\mathbf{SD} \ (^{\circ})$	$\mathbf{Median} \ (^{\circ})$
1	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	1	1	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2
6	0	0	1	1	1.7	1.7	0.0	1.7
7	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	0	0	1	1	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.1
12	0	0	1	1	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2
13	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0	0	1	1	1.3	1.3	0.0	1.3
15	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	2	2	1.55	1.8	0.25	1.55
18	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	ber of	viola	ted restraints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
PHI	CHI1	PSI	Total	Count ¹	%
0	0	1	1	1	5.0
0	0	1	1	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	1	1	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	11	55.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Num	ber of	viola	ted restraints	Fraction of the ensemble		
PHI	CHI1	PSI	Total	Count ¹	%	
0	0	0	0	12	60.0	
0	0	0	0	13	65.0	
0	0	0	0	14	70.0	
0	0	0	0	15	75.0	
0	0	0	0	16	80.0	
0	0	0	0	17	85.0	
0	0	0	0	18	90.0	
0	0	0	0	19	95.0	
0	0	0	0	20	100.0	

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,13)	1:A:16:THR:N	1:A:16:THR:CA	1:A:16:THR:C	1:A:17:ALA:N	4	1.38	0.19	1.3
(1,26)	1:A:25:ASP:N	1:A:25:ASP:CA	1:A:25:ASP:C	1:A:26:LYS:N	2	1.45	0.35	1.45


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,26)	1:A:25:ASP:N	1:A:25:ASP:CA	1:A:25:ASP:C	1:A:26:LYS:N	17	1.8
(1,13)	1:A:16:THR:N	1:A:16:THR:CA	1:A:16:THR:C	1:A:17:ALA:N	6	1.7
(1,13)	1:A:16:THR:N	1:A:16:THR:CA	1:A:16:THR:C	1:A:17:ALA:N	14	1.3
(1,13)	1:A:16:THR:N	1:A:16:THR:CA	1:A:16:THR:C	1:A:17:ALA:N	17	1.3
(1,20)	1:A:21:CYS:N	1:A:21:CYS:CA	1:A:21:CYS:C	1:A:22:SER:N	5	1.2
(1,13)	1:A:16:THR:N	1:A:16:THR:CA	1:A:16:THR:C	1:A:17:ALA:N	12	1.2
(1,26)	1:A:25:ASP:N	1:A:25:ASP:CA	1:A:25:ASP:C	1:A:26:LYS:N	11	1.1

