

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Jun 4, 2023 – 10:26 AM EDT

PDB ID : 2RS8 BMRB ID : 11458

Title : Solution structure of the N-terminal RNA recognition motif of NonO

Authors: Nagata, T.; Muto, Y.; Inoue, M.; Kigawa, T.; Terada, T.; Shirouzu, M.;

Yokoyama, S.; RIKEN Structural Genomics/Proteomics Initiative (RSGI)

Deposited on : 2011-11-29

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 89%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	$egin{aligned} ext{NMR archive} \ (\# ext{Entries}) \end{aligned}$	
Metric	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$		
Clashscore	158937	12864	
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain		
1	A	99	72%	28%	



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 9 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: fewest violations.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues				
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model				
1	A:76-A:146 (71)	0.28	9	

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 5 clusters and 3 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 7, 8, 11, 14
2	2, 5, 15, 19
3	1, 4, 9
4	10, 12, 13
5	6, 17
Single-model clusters	16; 18; 20



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1539 atoms, of which 773 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues			Aton	ns			Trace
1	Λ	00	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	A	99	1539	479	773	139	145	3	U

There are 13 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	61	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	62	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	63	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	64	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	65	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	66	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	67	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	154	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	155	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	156	PRO	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	157	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	158	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q99K48
A	159	GLY	_	expression tag	UNP Q99K48




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein




4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein





4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein

Chain A: 70% • 28%



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein

Chain A: 67% 5% 28%

4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein

Chain A: 71% • 28%



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein

Chain A: 68% . 28%



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein


Chain A: 71% • 28%






4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein




4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein




4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein





4.2.16

4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13


• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein





4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein





Score per residue for model 16


• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein





4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein





4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein

Chain A: 69% . 28%



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein

Chain A: 69% . 28%



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein

Chain A: 68% . 28%



Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing, torsion angle dynamics.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the least restraint violations.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	refinement	
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1091
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1091
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	89%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mal	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles		
IVIOI	Chain	RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5	
1	A	0.69 ± 0.00	$0\pm0/592~(~0.0\pm~0.0\%)$	0.92 ± 0.03	$1\pm 2/791 \ (\ 0.1\pm\ 0.2\%)$	
All	All	0.69	0/11840 (0.0%)	0.92	23/15820 (0.1%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.4
All	All	0	4

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	\mathbf{z}	$Observed(^o)$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Models	
MIOI	Chain	nes	Type	Atoms	L	Observed()	ideai()	Worst	Total
1	A	117	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	7.06	123.83	120.30	18	4
1	A	137	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	6.50	123.55	120.30	2	4
1	A	77	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	6.33	123.47	120.30	3	4
1	A	142	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	6.17	123.39	120.30	4	1
1	A	93	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	6.09	123.34	120.30	17	2
1	A	77	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-6.05	117.28	120.30	2	2
1	A	93	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-5.67	117.47	120.30	17	1
1	A	121	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	5.66	123.13	120.30	2	3
1	A	144	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	5.33	122.97	120.30	17	1
1	A	137	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-5.02	117.79	120.30	17	1

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below.



Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	99	TYR	Sidechain	4

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	11620	12060	12060	-

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain Analysed		Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	71/99 (72%)	70±1 (99±1%)	1±1 (1±1%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100 100	
All	All	1420/1980 (72%)	1407 (99%)	13 (1%)	0 (0%)	100 100	

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	61/82 (74%)	59±2 (96±2%)	2±2 (4±2%)	37 85		

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
All	All	1220/1640 (74%)	1175 (96%)	45 (4%)	37 85	

All 19 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	142	ARG	8
1	A	128	LYS	5
1	A	94	LYS	4
1	A	117	ARG	4
1	A	101	LYS	3
1	A	132	ASP	3
1	A	97	GLU	3
1	A	98	LYS	2
1	A	77	ARG	2
1	A	144	ARG	2
1	A	121	ARG	1
1	A	82	ASN	1
1	A	137	ARG	1
1	A	109	LYS	1
1	A	140	GLN	1
1	A	86	ASP	1
1	A	91	GLU	1
1	A	111	LYS	1
1	A	133	ASN	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.



6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 89% for the well-defined parts and 82% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1091
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1091
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction} \pm {\rm precision}, ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	86	0.04 ± 0.13	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	77	0.20 ± 0.07	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
¹³ C′	78	0.31 ± 0.15	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
^{15}N	71	0.28 ± 0.32	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 89%, i.e. 930 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1044. 0 out of 12 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$	
Backbone	340/355~(96%)	$144/145 \ (99\%)$	135/142~(95%)	61/68 (90%)	
Sidechain	526/613~(86%)	360/395 (91%)	163/187 (87%)	3/31 (10%)	

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	64/76 (84%)	32/38~(84%)	32/37~(86%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	930/1044 (89%)	536/578 (93%)	330/366~(90%)	64/100 (64%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 82%, i.e. 1091 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1333. 0 out of 13 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	403/499 (81%)	168/206 (82%)	164/198 (83%)	71/95 (75%)
Sidechain	614/741 (83%)	420/478 (88%)	190/227 (84%)	4/36 (11%)
Aromatic	74/93 (80%)	37/47 (79%)	37/44 (84%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	1091/1333 (82%)	$625/731 \ (85\%)$	391/469 (83%)	75/133 (56%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	943
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	205
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	235
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	154
Long range (i-j ≥5)	349
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	9.5
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	3.5

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation. There are no distance violations

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Dantuninta tema	C	% ¹	Vio	lated	3	Consis	tentl	${ m y~Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	205	21.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	181	19.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	24	2.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	235	24.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	69	7.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	138	14.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	28	3.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	154	16.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	31	3.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	65	6.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	58	6.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	349	37.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	34	3.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	132	14.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	183	19.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	943	100.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	134	14.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	516	54.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	293	31.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

No violations found

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

No violations found

9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

No violations found

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

No violations found



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

Dihedral angle analysis failed due to data error in the dihedral angle restraints, possibly missing target value

