

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 20, 2024 – 04:34 pm BST

PDB ID	:	8QPY
BMRB ID	:	34868
Title	:	Solution NMR structure of the peptidyl carrier domain TomAPCP from the
		Tomaymycin non-ribosomal peptide synthetase
Authors	:	Karanth, M.N.; Kirkpatrick, J.P.; Carlomagno, T.
Deposited on	:	2023-10-03

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	1.8.4, CSD as 541 be (2020)
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.37.1

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 91%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$egin{array}{c} { m Whole \ archive}\ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	${f NMR} \ {f archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$		
	(#Entrics)			
Clashscore	158937	12864		
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451		
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428		

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	А	92	53%	16%	30%		



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 3 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 9 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues								
Well-defined core	Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model							
1	1 A:7-A:29, A:38-A:78 (64) 0.55 3							

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 3 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 3, 4
2	2, 6
3	5, 9
Single-model clusters	7; 8; 10



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1433 atoms, of which 704 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Carrier protein TomAPCP.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms						Trace
1	۸	02	Total	С	Н	Ν	0	Р	S	0
	A	92	1433	450	704	129	147	1	2	0




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Carrier protein TomAPCP



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 3. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Carrier protein TomAPCP





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, torsion angle dynamics, molecular dynamics.*

Of the 100 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	structure calculation	2.3
CNS	structure calculation	1.21
CNS	structure calculation	1.21
CNS	structure calculation	1.21

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	1916
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1916
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	91%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: 4HH

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	513	499	497	$10{\pm}3$
All	All	5130	4990	4970	102

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 10.

5 of 46 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom 1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:60:VAL:HA	1:A:63:HIS:NE2	0.77	1.94	10	1	
1:A:63:HIS:NE2	1:A:69:LEU:HG	0.73	1.98	6	2	
1:A:63:HIS:CE1	1:A:69:LEU:HG	0.69	2.21	10	2	
1:A:59:ASP:O	1:A:62:ARG:HG2	0.65	1.90	1	5	
1:A:45:SER:O	1:A:49:LYS:HG3	0.59	1.96	5	4	



6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	64/92~(70%)	$61 \pm 1 (95 \pm 1\%)$	$2\pm1 (3\pm1\%)$	1±0 (2±0%)	13 57
All	All	640/920~(70%)	609~(95%)	21 (3%)	10~(2%)	13 57

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	38	LEU	10

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent side chain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the side chain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Rotameric		Outliers	Percentiles	
1	А	57/75~(76%)	53 ± 2 (93 $\pm3\%$)	$4\pm2~(7\pm3\%)$	19 68	
All	All	570/750~(76%)	531 (93%)	39~(7%)	19 68	

5 of 19 unique residues with a non-rotameric side chain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	69	LEU	5
1	А	43	LEU	5
1	А	7	LEU	4
1	А	66	VAL	4
1	А	74	GLU	3

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.



6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

1 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residue is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mal	Tuno	Chain	Dog	Link		Bond leng	ths
	туре	Chain	nes		Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	$4 \mathrm{HH}$	А	37	1	21,26,27	$0.65 {\pm} 0.04$	0±0 (0±0%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Turne	Chain	Dec	Tiple		Bond ang	gles
INIOI	Type	Chain	nes	Link	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	4HH	А	37	1	27,35,37	$0.90{\pm}0.07$	1±0 (3±1%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	4HH	А	37	1	-	$1\pm0,32,35,37$	-

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Ζ	$Observed(^{o})$	$\operatorname{Ideal}(^{o})$	Moo Worst	d els Total
1	А	37	4HH	OG-CB-CA	3.72	111.76	108.14	4	9

There are no chirality outliers.



All unique torsion outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Models (Total)
1	А	37	4HH	CM-CL3-NN-CO	3

There are no ring outliers.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 91% for the well-defined parts and 89% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chemical_shifts_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1098
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1098
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

The following errors were found when reading this chemical shift list.

• Chemical shift has been reported more than once. All 1 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Dog	Tuno	Atom	Shift DataValueUncertaintyAmbiguity		a
	Ullain	nes	туре	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	А	37	4HH	HB2	4.189	0.012	2

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	89	-0.29 ± 0.19	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	81	0.00 ± 0.09	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	81	-0.14 ± 0.17	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
¹⁵ N	83	0.10 ± 0.29	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)



7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 90%, i.e. 799 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 883. 0 out of 14 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	15 N
Backbone	316/323~(98%)	130/131~(99%)	124/128~(97%)	$62/64 \ (97\%)$
Sidechain	426/482~(88%)	291/312~(93%)	130/151~(86%)	5/19~(26%)
Aromatic	57/78~(73%)	29/39~(74%)	28/34~(82%)	0/5~(0%)
Overall	799/883~(90%)	450/482~(93%)	282/313~(90%)	67/88~(76%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.


List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	19	SER	HB2	1.44	2.61 - 5.13	-9.6
1	А	28	ASP	HB2	1.28	1.41 - 4.01	-5.5

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chemical_shifts_2

7.2.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	818			
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	818			
Number of unparsed shifts	0			
Number of shifts with mapping errors				
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0			
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2			

The following errors were found when reading this chemical shift list.

• Chemical shift has been reported more than once. All 1 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Dog	Tuno	Atom		Shift Dat	a
	Ullain	nes	Type	Atom	Value	Shift Dat Uncertainty	Ambiguity
2	А	37	4HH	HB2	4.206	0.007	2

7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.



Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	88	-0.30 ± 0.14	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	80	0.09 ± 0.10	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	0		None (insufficient data)
^{15}N	0		None (insufficient data)

7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 69%, i.e. 605 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 883. 0 out of 14 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	138/323~(43%)	74/131~(56%)	64/128~(50%)	0/64~(0%)
Sidechain	410/482~(85%)	281/312 (90%)	129/151~(85%)	0/19~(0%)
Aromatic	57/78~(73%)	29/39~(74%)	28/34~(82%)	0/5~(0%)
Overall	605/883~(69%)	384/482~(80%)	221/313~(71%)	0/88~(0%)

7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.


List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
2	А	19	SER	HB2	1.45	2.61 - 5.13	-9.6
2	А	28	ASP	HB2	1.31	1.41 - 4.01	-5.4

7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:







8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1843
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	698
Sequential (i-j =1)	384
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	361
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	400
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	150
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	21.7
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	4.3

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	22.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	57.2	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	87.5	9.32



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	Max ($^{\circ}$)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	9.5	9.77
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	0.1	10.73
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)


9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destusints torms	Count	$\%^1$	Vi	olated	3	Consis	tently	y Violated ⁴
Restraints type	Count	/0	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	698	37.9	62	8.9	3.4	11	1.6	0.6
Backbone-Backbone	62	3.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	364	19.8	36	9.9	2.0	5	1.4	0.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	272	14.8	26	9.6	1.4	6	2.2	0.3
Sequential (i-j =1)	384	20.8	42	10.9	2.3	5	1.3	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	117	6.3	1	0.9	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	190	10.3	19	10.0	1.0	1	0.5	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	77	4.2	22	28.6	1.2	4	5.2	0.2
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	361	19.6	100	27.7	5.4	11	3.0	0.6
Backbone-Backbone	57	3.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	150	8.1	27	18.0	1.5	4	2.7	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	154	8.4	73	47.4	4.0	7	4.5	0.4
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	400	21.7	162	40.5	8.8	18	4.5	1.0
Backbone-Backbone	7	0.4	2	28.6	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	141	7.7	43	30.5	2.3	5	3.5	0.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	252	13.7	117	46.4	6.3	13	5.2	0.7
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1843	100.0	366	19.9	19.9	45	2.4	2.4
Backbone-Backbone	243	13.2	3	1.2	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	845	45.8	125	14.8	6.8	15	1.8	0.8
Sidechain-Sidechain	755	41.0	238	31.5	12.9	30	4.0	1.6

 1 percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models





9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å

are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^{6} (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$ IC^5 $	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^{\circ}(A)$	Median (A)
1	30	21	49	74	0	174	0.72	9.11	0.84	0.53
2	30	18	48	76	0	172	0.62	8.01	0.72	0.49
3	27	18	48	79	0	172	0.8	7.8	0.8	0.61
4	33	16	50	87	0	186	0.79	8.21	0.79	0.58
5	31	19	51	73	0	174	0.63	8.26	0.74	0.48
6	30	14	36	76	0	156	0.66	6.95	0.71	0.49
7	31	17	54	76	0	178	0.66	9.32	0.77	0.52
8	32	16	53	67	0	168	0.68	7.94	0.75	0.51
9	29	13	44	66	0	152	0.64	8.99	0.78	0.5
10	27	14	42	73	0	156	0.61	7.53	0.69	0.49



¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation



9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)

The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1477(IR:636, SQ:342, MR:261, LR:238, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

				Fraction of the ensemble			
IR^{1}	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count^6	%
15	12	24	31	0	82	1	10.0
9	8	12	25	0	54	2	20.0
6	5	12	9	0	32	3	30.0


Continued on next page...



	Continueu from previous page										
Nu	ımber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble							
IR^{1}	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC ⁵	Total	Count^6	%				
4	4	9	16	0	33	4	40.0				
1	1	7	22	0	31	5	50.0				
5	3	8	9	0	25	6	60.0				
4	1	4	11	0	20	7	70.0				
3	1	6	11	0	21	8	80.0				
4	2	7	10	0	23	9	90.0				
11	5	11	18	0	45	10	100.0				

Continued from previous page...

 1 Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations



9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	10	8.21	0.71	8.11
(1,869)	1:47:A:LEU:HD11	1:50:A:ILE:HD13	10	2.15	1.63	2.98
(1,869)	1:47:A:LEU:HD13	1:50:A:ILE:HD11	10	2.15	1.63	2.98
(1,869)	1:47:A:LEU:HD12	1:50:A:ILE:HD11	10	2.15	1.63	2.98
(1,869)	1:47:A:LEU:HD12	1:50:A:ILE:HD13	10	2.15	1.63	2.98
(1,869)	1:47:A:LEU:HD11	1:50:A:ILE:HD12	10	2.15	1.63	2.98
(1,869)	1:47:A:LEU:HD13	1:50:A:ILE:HD13	10	2.15	1.63	2.98
(3,161)	1:77:A:ARG:HB3	1:47:A:LEU:HD12	10	1.78	0.11	1.79
(3,161)	1:77:A:ARG:HB3	1:55:A:VAL:HG21	10	1.78	0.11	1.79
(3,161)	1:77:A:ARG:HB2	1:55:A:VAL:HG23	10	1.78	0.11	1.79
(3,161)	1:77:A:ARG:HB3	1:47:A:LEU:HD11	10	1.78	0.11	1.79
(3,161)	1:77:A:ARG:HB2	1:55:A:VAL:HG22	10	1.78	0.11	1.79
(3,161)	1:77:A:ARG:HB3	1:55:A:VAL:HG22	10	1.78	0.11	1.79
(3,161)	1:77:A:ARG:HB3	1:47:A:LEU:HD13	10	1.78	0.11	1.79
(3,161)	1:77:A:ARG:HB2	1:47:A:LEU:HD12	10	1.78	0.11	1.79

Continued on next page...



Key	ed from previous page Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,673)	1:31:A:LEU:HD11	1:30:A:PHE:HZ	10	1.51	0.57	1.72
(1,673)	1:31:A:LEU:HD13	1:30:A:PHE:HZ	10	1.51	0.57	1.72
(1,673)	1:31:A:LEU:HD12	1:30:A:PHE:HZ	10	1.51	0.57	1.72
(3,160)	1:77:A:ARG:HB3	1:79:A:THR:HG21	10	1.35	0.39	1.3
(3,160)	1:77:A:ARG:HB3	1:79:A:THR:HG23	10	1.35	0.39	1.3
(3,160)	1:77:A:ARG:HB2	1:79:A:THR:HG21	10	1.35	0.39	1.3
(1,657)	1:49:A:LYS:HG3	1:53:A:VAL:HG23	10	1.34	0.29	1.42
(1,657)	1:49:A:LYS:HG3	1:53:A:VAL:HG22	10	1.34	0.29	1.42
(1,657)	1:49:A:LYS:HG3	1:53:A:VAL:HG21	10	1.34	0.29	1.42
(3,98)	1:49:A:LYS:HE2	1:18:A:ILE:HD12	10	1.28	0.22	1.31
(3,98)	1:49:A:LYS:HE2	1:18:A:ILE:HD13	10	1.28	0.22	1.31
(3,98)	1:49:A:LYS:HE3	1:18:A:ILE:HD13	10	1.28	0.22	1.31
(3,98)	1:49:A:LYS:HE3	1:18:A:ILE:HD11	10	1.28	0.22	1.31
(3,98)	1:49:A:LYS:HE2	1:18:A:ILE:HD11	10	1.28	0.22	1.31
(3,205)	1:47:A:LEU:HD22	1:44:A:ALA:HB2	10	1.14	0.24	1.09
(3,205)	1:47:A:LEU:HD12	1:44:A:ALA:HB1	10	1.14	0.24	1.09
(3,205)	1:47:A:LEU:HD11	1:44:A:ALA:HB3	10	1.14	0.24	1.09
(3,205)	1:47:A:LEU:HD23	1:44:A:ALA:HB2	10	1.14	0.24	1.09
(3,205)	1:47:A:LEU:HD11	1:44:A:ALA:HB1	10	1.14	0.24	1.09
(3,205)	1:47:A:LEU:HD13	1:44:A:ALA:HB2	10	1.14	0.24	1.09
(3,205)	1:47:A:LEU:HD13	1:44:A:ALA:HB1	10	1.14	0.24	1.09
(3,205)	1:47:A:LEU:HD12	1:44:A:ALA:HB3	10	1.14	0.24	1.09
(1,676)	1:31:A:LEU:HA	1:31:A:LEU:HD12	10	1.06	0.21	1.1
(1,676)	1:31:A:LEU:HA	1:31:A:LEU:HD11	10	1.06	0.21	1.1
(1,676)	1:31:A:LEU:HA	1:31:A:LEU:HD13	10	1.06	0.21	1.1
(1,92)	1:55:A:VAL:HA	1:53:A:VAL:HG13	10	0.96	0.07	0.95

Continued from previous page...


 $^1\mathrm{Number}$ of violated models, $^2\mathrm{Standard}$ deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	7	9.32
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	1	9.11
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	9	8.99
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	5	8.26
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	4	8.21
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	2	8.01
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	8	7.94
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	3	7.8
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	10	7.53
(1,312)	1:62:A:ARG:HD2	1:47:A:LEU:HB2	6	6.95



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	$\%^1$	σ_{z1} Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴			
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	
PSI	75	50.0	25	33.3	16.7	1	1.3	0.7	
PHI	75	50.0	8	10.7	5.3	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	150	100.0	33	22.0	22.0	1	0.7	0.7	

 1 percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)	
Model ID	PSI	PHI	Total	Mean ()	Max ()	ы ()	Median ()	
1	8	2	10	3.03	5.78	1.57	2.93	
2	8	1	9	3.52	8.82	2.82	2.08	
3	9	2	11	3.47	8.07	2.14	3.24	
4	5	1	6	3.21	8.57	2.45	2.42	
5	10	2	12	2.42	7.19	1.67	1.8	
6	9	4	13	4.02	9.37	2.83	2.31	
7	6	2	8	4.03	9.77	3.0	2.26	
8	6	4	10	3.36	10.73	2.84	2.35	
9	7	2	9	2.39	9.02	2.4	1.49	
10	6	2	8	2.6	7.65	2.14	1.4	

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the \mathbf{y} axis on the right




10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble			
PSI	PHI	Total	Count^1	%		
9	4	13	1	10.0		
7	1	8	2	20.0		
2	0	2	3	30.0		
1	2	3	4	40.0		
1	0	1	5	50.0		
2	0	2	6	60.0		
2	0	2	7	70.0		
0	1	1	8	80.0		
0	0	0	9	90.0		
1	0	1	10	100.0		

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)








10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$Models^1$	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,54)	1:31:A:LEU:N	1:31:A:LEU:CA	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	10	8.5	1.33	8.7
(1,55)	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	1:32:A:GLY:CA	1:32:A:GLY:C	8	4.7	2.93	4.97
(1,52)	1:30:A:PHE:N	1:30:A:PHE:CA	1:30:A:PHE:C	1:31:A:LEU:N	7	3.34	1.45	3.51
(1,94)	1:54:A:GLU:N	1:54:A:GLU:CA	1:54:A:GLU:C	1:55:A:VAL:N	7	1.72	0.43	1.67
(1,56)	1:32:A:GLY:N	1:32:A:GLY:CA	1:32:A:GLY:C	1:33:A:HIS:N	6	3.95	1.19	3.9
(1,50)	1:29:A:SER:N	1:29:A:SER:CA	1:29:A:SER:C	1:30:A:PHE:N	6	2.14	0.72	2.04
(1,38)	1:23:A:ASP:N	1:23:A:ASP:CA	1:23:A:ASP:C	1:24:A:PHE:N	5	1.41	0.36	1.25
(1,57)	1:32:A:GLY:C	1:33:A:HIS:N	1:33:A:HIS:CA	1:33:A:HIS:C	4	3.05	1.28	3.28
(1,62)	1:38:A:LEU:N	1:38:A:LEU:CA	1:38:A:LEU:C	1:39:A:HIS:N	4	2.28	0.69	2.02
(1,33)	1:19:A:SER:C	1:20:A:GLY:N	1:20:A:GLY:CA	1:20:A:GLY:C	4	1.92	0.53	1.97


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,54)	1:31:A:LEU:N	1:31:A:LEU:CA	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	8	10.73
(1,54)	1:31:A:LEU:N	1:31:A:LEU:CA	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	7	9.77
(1,54)	1:31:A:LEU:N	1:31:A:LEU:CA	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	6	9.37
(1,54)	1:31:A:LEU:N	1:31:A:LEU:CA	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	9	9.02
(1,54)	1:31:A:LEU:N	1:31:A:LEU:CA	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	2	8.82
(1,54)	1:31:A:LEU:N	1:31:A:LEU:CA	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	4	8.57
(1,55)	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	1:32:A:GLY:CA	1:32:A:GLY:C	2	8.5
(1,142)	1:79:A:THR:N	1:79:A:THR:CA	1:79:A:THR:C	1:80:A:GLY:N	6	8.14
(1,54)	1:31:A:LEU:N	1:31:A:LEU:CA	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	3	8.07
(1,55)	1:31:A:LEU:C	1:32:A:GLY:N	1:32:A:GLY:CA	1:32:A:GLY:C	7	7.91

