

## wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 3, 2023 – 08:42 PM EDT

PDB ID : 2N2H BMRB ID : 25599

Title : Solution structure of Sds3 in complex with Sin3A

Authors: Clark, M.; Radhakrishnan, I.

Deposited on : 2015-05-08

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2 BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

## 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 83%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive
Metric	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	A	27	59%	7%		33%	•
2	В	125	67%		11%	22%	_



## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 1 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues								
Well-defined core	Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model							
1	A:207-A:224, B:616-B:658,	0.74	1					
	B:662-B:716 (116)							

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 5 clusters and 6 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	12, 16, 17, 20
2	3, 9, 14, 15
3	10, 19
4	1, 4
5	8, 13
Single-model clusters	2; 5; 6; 7; 11; 18



## 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2537 atoms, of which 1285 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Sin3 histone deacetylase corepressor complex component SDS3.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
1	Λ	97	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
$\begin{array}{c c} 1 & A \end{array}$	21	442	134	224	37	46	1	U	

There are 3 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Cha	in	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A		202	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q8BR65
A		203	ASN	-	expression tag	UNP Q8BR65
A		204	ALA	-	expression tag	UNP Q8BR65

• Molecule 2 is a protein called Paired amphipathic helix protein Sin3a.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
2	R	125	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
	D	120	2095	649	1061	190	193	2	U

There are 3 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
В	605	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q60520
В	606	ASN	-	expression tag	UNP Q60520
В	607	ALA	-	expression tag	UNP Q60520




## 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Sin3 histone deacetylase corepressor complex component SDS3



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Sin3 histone deacetylase corepressor complex component SDS3





#### 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 80 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the least restraint violations.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	structure solution	
CNS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	1790
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1790
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	83%



## 6 Model quality (i)

### 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

#### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	152	151	151	2±1
2	В	803	850	849	12±4
All	All	19100	20020	20000	269

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 7.

5 of 149 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
2:B:634:LEU:HB3	2:B:697:LEU:HD13	0.81	1.50	20	5
2:B:634:LEU:HB3	2:B:697:LEU:HG	0.68	1.64	6	3
1:A:216:ILE:HG21	2:B:677:LYS:HB3	0.67	1.65	12	1
2:B:685:LEU:HD21	2:B:696:VAL:HG21	0.65	1.67	19	5
2:B:670:LEU:HD23	2:B:682:ILE:HG12	0.64	1.69	9	1

### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR



entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	A	18/27 (67%)	17±1 (96±4%)	1±1 (4±4%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
2	В	98/125 (78%)	91±2 (93±2%)	7±2 (7±2%)	0±0 (0±0%)	50	82
All	All	2320/3040 (76%)	2171 (94%)	146 (6%)	3 (0%)	54	85

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	В	655	ASP	2
2	В	642	SER	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	18/25 (72%)	17±1 (96±5%)	$1\pm1~(4\pm5\%)$	36 84
2	В	86/110 (78%)	83±2 (97±2%)	3±2 (3±2%)	41 87
All	All	2080/2700 (77%)	2010 (97%)	70 (3%)	40 87

5 of 33 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$\operatorname{Res}$	Type	Models (Total)
2	В	708	ARG	6
2	В	657	THR	5
1	A	224	ASN	5
2	В	628	LEU	5
2	В	663	GLU	4

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.



### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



## 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 83% for the well-defined parts and 81% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1518
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1518
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	125	$-0.59 \pm 0.10$	Should be checked
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	121	$0.02 \pm 0.09$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
<sup>13</sup> C′	122	$-0.44 \pm 0.09$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}N$	116	$0.65 \pm 0.28$	Should be applied

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 71%, i.e. 1225 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1724. 0 out of 23 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	480/578 (83%)	193/232 (83%)	195/232 (84%)	92/114 (81%)
Sidechain	718/1078 (67%)	476/695 (68%)	234/329 (71%)	8/54 (15%)



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	27/68 (40%)	$14/33 \ (42\%)$	$12/32 \ (38\%)$	1/3 (33%)
Overall	1225/1724 (71%)	683/960 (71%)	441/593 (74%)	101/171 (59%)


#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:



#### 7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned chem shift list 2

### 7.2.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.



Total number of shifts	272
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	272
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 12%, i.e. 207 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1724. 0 out of 23 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	69/578 (12%)	$35/232\ (15\%)$	17/232 (7%)	17/114 (15%)
Sidechain	136/1078 (13%)	93/695~(13%)	41/329 (12%)	2/54 (4%)
Aromatic	2/68 (3%)	2/33~(6%)	0/32 (0%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	207/1724 (12%)	130/960 (14%)	58/593 (10%)	19/171 (11%)

### 7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:







## 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	3007
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	1388
Sequential ( i-j =1)	560
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	468
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	269
Inter-chain	208
Hydrogen bond restraints	114
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	200
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	21.1
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	1.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	19.9	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	17.4	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	20.1	3.25



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	2.3	4.4
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



## 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

### 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Dordensinda dom o	Commit	$\%^{1}$	Vio	lated <sup>5</sup>	3	Consis	tentl	${f y}$ Violated $^4$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$ \%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	1388	46.2	75	5.4	2.5	1	0.1	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	129	4.3	1	0.8	0.0	1	0.8	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	763	25.4	55	7.2	1.8	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	496	16.5	19	3.8	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	560	18.6	38	6.8	1.3	1	0.2	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	211	7.0	6	2.8	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	291	9.7	20	6.9	0.7	1	0.3	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	58	1.9	12	20.7	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	468	15.6	70	15.0	2.3	1	0.2	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	85	2.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	238	7.9	24	10.1	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	145	4.8	46	31.7	1.5	1	0.7	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	269	8.9	59	21.9	2.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	81	2.7	15	18.5	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	187	6.2	44	23.5	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	208	6.9	35	16.8	1.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	63	2.1	13	20.6	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	144	4.8	22	15.3	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	114	3.8	8	7.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	3007	100.0	285	9.5	9.5	3	0.1	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	541	18.0	15	2.8	0.5	1	0.2	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1436	47.8	127	8.8	4.2	1	0.1	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	1030	34.3	143	13.9	4.8	1	0.1	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	M (Å)	M (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
1	13	5	11	10	9	48	0.37	1.27	0.33	0.22
2	13	13	9	14	9	58	0.53	2.4	0.55	0.32
3	19	8	21	14	12	74	0.45	1.85	0.41	0.29
4	16	6	21	22	6	71	0.58	2.15	0.49	0.4
5	19	12	18	20	6	75	0.54	2.02	0.42	0.41
6	13	11	15	14	8	61	0.48	1.68	0.38	0.35
7	16	10	21	17	8	72	0.57	2.43	0.48	0.42
8	20	11	18	13	4	66	0.47	1.57	0.37	0.34
9	12	8	8	6	8	42	0.43	2.15	0.43	0.24
10	15	8	13	9	6	51	0.58	2.44	0.51	0.41
11	13	8	13	12	0	46	0.42	1.58	0.37	0.26




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	(A)	Median (A)
12	14	5	8	14	1	42	0.4	1.98	0.41	0.24
13	13	8	17	16	9	63	0.59	3.13	0.52	0.48
14	20	9	19	20	2	70	0.6	2.12	0.5	0.45
15	13	5	8	11	7	44	0.41	1.41	0.37	0.27
16	18	13	17	15	7	70	0.48	3.25	0.5	0.32
17	15	6	9	12	10	52	0.49	1.26	0.36	0.44
18	11	8	8	13	5	45	0.4	1.31	0.31	0.29
19	12	6	9	14	1	42	0.38	2.23	0.45	0.16
20	13	7	15	11	12	58	0.5	1.98	0.43	0.36

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



#### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 2616(IR:1313, SQ:522, MR:398, LR:210, IC:173) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
24	12	23	16	9	84	1	5.0
15	8	13	7	6	49	2	10.0
10	4	8	8	5	35	3	15.0
3	2	4	5	3	17	4	20.0
6	3	4	5	5	23	5	25.0
1	1	5	4	1	12	6	30.0
3	0	5	2	2	12	7	35.0
3	1	2	4	2	12	8	40.0
3	1	0	1	1	6	9	45.0
2	1	2	2	0	7	10	50.0
2	0	0	0	0	2	11	55.0
0	3	1	1	1	6	12	60.0
1	0	1	1	0	3	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	3	0	3	18	90.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	19	95.0
1	1	1	0	0	3	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1330)	2:B:627:ASN:HB2	2:B:631:ILE:HG13	20	0.65	0.42	0.55
(1,750)	2:B:673:ILE:HG13	2:B:672:ARG:H	20	0.36	0.12	0.36
(1,750)	2:B:670:LEU:HB2	2:B:672:ARG:H	20	0.36	0.12	0.36
(1,750)	2:B:617:ARG:HB2	2:B:621:ASP:H	20	0.36	0.12	0.36
(1,583)	2:B:634:LEU:HA	2:B:634:LEU:H	20	0.14	0.02	0.15
(1,583)	2:B:630:THR:HA	2:B:634:LEU:H	20	0.14	0.02	0.15
(1,821)	2:B:644:LEU:HD11	2:B:644:LEU:HA	19	0.37	0.02	0.37
(1,821)	2:B:644:LEU:HD12	2:B:644:LEU:HA	19	0.37	0.02	0.37
(1,821)	2:B:644:LEU:HD13	2:B:644:LEU:HA	19	0.37	0.02	0.37
(1,1166)	2:B:711:GLN:HG2	2:B:624:LEU:HD21	18	1.01	0.13	0.99
(1,1166)	2:B:711:GLN:HG2	2:B:624:LEU:HD22	18	1.01	0.13	0.99
(1,1166)	2:B:711:GLN:HG2	2:B:624:LEU:HD23	18	1.01	0.13	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD11	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD11	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD11	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD12	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99



Key	$rac{d\ from\ previous\ page}{ig } ightagender{\mathbf{Atom-1}}$	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD12	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD12	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD13	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD13	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD13	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD21	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD21	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD21	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD22	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD22	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD22	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD23	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD23	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:654:LEU:HD23	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD11	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD11	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD11	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD12	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD12	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD12	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD13	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD13	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:637:ILE:HD13	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG21	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG21	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG21	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG22	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG22	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG22	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG23	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG23	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,445)	2:B:691:ILE:HG23	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.91	0.43	0.99
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	18	0.79	0.68	0.56
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	18	0.79	0.68	0.56
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	18	0.79	0.68	0.56
(1,213)	2:B:636:ALA:HB1	2:B:637:ILE:HG13	15	1.03	0.19	1.09
(1,213)	2:B:636:ALA:HB2	2:B:637:ILE:HG13	15	1.03	0.19	1.09
(1,213)	2:B:636:ALA:HB3	2:B:637:ILE:HG13	15	1.03	0.19	1.09
(1,213)	2:B:629:ALA:HB1	2:B:632:ARG:HG3	15	1.03	0.19	1.09
(1,213)	2:B:629:ALA:HB2	2:B:632:ARG:HG3	15	1.03	0.19	1.09
(1,213)	2:B:629:ALA:HB3	2:B:632:ARG:HG3	15	1.03	0.19	1.09
(1,213)	2:B:628:LEU:HG	2:B:632:ARG:HG3	15	1.03	0.19	1.09




Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	${ m SD}^1 \ ( m \AA)$	Median (Å)
(1,1922)	2:B:617:ARG:HG3	2:B:620:LEU:H	15	0.17	0.04	0.16
(1,1922)	2:B:617:ARG:HG2	2:B:620:LEU:H	15	0.17	0.04	0.16
(1,433)	2:B:716:LYS:HB3	2:B:708:ARG:HD3	13	0.67	0.37	0.74

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

#### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)	
(1,2564)	1:A:220:LEU:HD21	1:A:217:MET:HG3	16	3.25	
(1,2564)	1:A:220:LEU:HD22	1:A:217:MET:HG3	16	3.25	



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,2564)	1:A:220:LEU:HD23	1:A:217:MET:HG3	16	3.25
(1,2663)	2:B:703:LYS:HE2	1:A:211:LEU:HD21	13	3.13
(1,2663)	2:B:703:LYS:HE2	1:A:211:LEU:HD22	13	3.13
(1,2663)	2:B:703:LYS:HE2	1:A:211:LEU:HD23	13	3.13
(1,1719)	2:B:717:VAL:HG21	2:B:721:GLN:HE22	10	2.44
(1,1719)	2:B:717:VAL:HG22	2:B:721:GLN:HE22	10	2.44
(1,1719)	2:B:717:VAL:HG23	2:B:721:GLN:HE22	10	2.44
(1,1119)	2:B:637:ILE:HG12	2:B:633:VAL:HG21	7	2.43
(1,1119)	2:B:637:ILE:HG12	2:B:633:VAL:HG22	7	2.43
(1,1119)	2:B:637:ILE:HG12	2:B:633:VAL:HG23	7	2.43
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	2	2.4
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	2	2.4
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	2	2.4
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	19	2.23
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	19	2.23
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	19	2.23
(1,1330)	2:B:627:ASN:HB2	2:B:631:ILE:HG13	9	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG11	2:B:700:LEU:HD21	4	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG11	2:B:700:LEU:HD22	4	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG11	2:B:700:LEU:HD23	4	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG12	2:B:700:LEU:HD21	4	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG12	2:B:700:LEU:HD22	4	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG12	2:B:700:LEU:HD23	4	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG13	2:B:700:LEU:HD21	4	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG13	2:B:700:LEU:HD22	4	2.15
(1,1251)	2:B:633:VAL:HG13	2:B:700:LEU:HD23	4	2.15
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD21	14	2.12
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD22	14	2.12
(1,1162)	2:B:649:GLN:HG3	2:B:644:LEU:HD23	14	2.12
(1,923)	2:B:693:VAL:HA	2:B:634:LEU:HD21	7	2.06



## 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


### 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	<b>C</b> 4	$\%^1$	${f Violated^3}$			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PSI	100	50.0	6	6.0	3.0	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	100	50.0	7	7.0	3.5	0	0.0	0.0
Total	200	100.0	13	6.5	6.5	0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




### 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Nun	nber o	f violations	Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Miodel 1D	PSI	PHI	Total	Mean ()	Max ()	SD ( )	Median ( )
1	1	0	1	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.5
2	1	1	2	2.1	2.3	0.2	2.1
3	3	2	5	1.56	2.5	0.51	1.5
4	1	1	2	2.15	2.9	0.75	2.15
5	2	2	4	1.5	1.9	0.24	1.4
6	1	1	2	2.35	3.5	1.15	2.35
7	0	2	2	1.3	1.4	0.1	1.3
8	0	1	1	2.2	2.2	0.0	2.2
9	1	0	1	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.4
10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	1	1	2	1.35	1.5	0.15	1.35
12	1	2	3	1.5	1.8	0.29	1.6
13	2	2	4	1.5	2.0	0.3	1.4
14	1	1	2	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2
15	1	2	3	1.5	2.1	0.43	1.3
16	1	0	1	1.3	1.3	0.0	1.3
17	1	1	2	2.1	2.6	0.5	2.1
18	1	2	3	1.3	1.4	0.14	1.4
19	2	1	3	1.3	1.6	0.22	1.2
20	2	1	3	2.77	4.4	1.19	2.3



#### 10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

## 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble		
PSI	PHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%	
4	2	6	6 1		
0	2	2	2	10.0	
0	1	1	3	15.0	
0	0	0	4	20.0	
0	0	0	5	25.0	
0	1	1	6	30.0	
0	0	0	7	35.0	
0	1	1	8	40.0	
1	0	1	9	45.0	
1	0	1	10	50.0	
0	0	0	11	55.0	




Continued from previous page...

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble		
PSI	PHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%	
0	0	0	12	60.0	
0	0	0	13	65.0	
0	0	0	14	70.0	
0	0	0	15	75.0	
0	0	0	16	80.0	
0	0	0	17	85.0	
0	0	0	18	90.0	
0	0	0	19	95.0	
0	0	0	20	100.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

#### 10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	${f Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{SD}^2$	Median
(1,127)	2:B:671:GLN:N	2:B:671:GLN:CA	2:B:671:GLN:C	2:B:672:ARG:N	10	1.35	0.17	1.35
(1,165)	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	2:B:715:ASN:N	9	2.09	0.67	2.0
(1,78)	2:B:713:GLY:C	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	8	1.8	0.55	1.85
(1,86)	2:B:721:GLN:C	2:B:722:ASN:N	2:B:722:ASN:CA	2:B:722:ASN:C	6	1.47	0.38	1.35
(1,85)	2:B:720:GLU:C	2:B:721:GLN:N	2:B:721:GLN:CA	2:B:721:GLN:C	3	1.17	0.09	1.1
(1,42)	2:B:674:TYR:C	2:B:675:ALA:N	2:B:675:ALA:CA	2:B:675:ALA:C	2	1.65	0.45	1.65
(1,87)	2:B:722:ASN:C	2:B:723:GLU:N	2:B:723:GLU:CA	2:B:723:GLU:C	2	1.35	0.25	1.35


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

#### 10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,200)	1:A:225:LYS:N	1:A:225:LYS:CA	1:A:225:LYS:C	1:A:226:LEU:N	20	4.4
(1,165)	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	2:B:715:ASN:N	6	3.5
(1,78)	2:B:713:GLY:C	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	4	2.9
(1,165)	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	2:B:715:ASN:N	17	2.6
(1,165)	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	2:B:715:ASN:N	3	2.5
(1,86)	2:B:721:GLN:C	2:B:722:ASN:N	2:B:722:ASN:CA	2:B:722:ASN:C	20	2.3
(1,165)	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	2:B:715:ASN:N	2	2.3
(1,78)	2:B:713:GLY:C	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	8	2.2
(1,42)	2:B:674:TYR:C	2:B:675:ALA:N	2:B:675:ALA:CA	2:B:675:ALA:C	15	2.1
(1,165)	2:B:714:PHE:N	2:B:714:PHE:CA	2:B:714:PHE:C	2:B:715:ASN:N	13	2.0

