

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Sep 16, 2024 – 01:28 pm BST

PDB ID : 9GDU BMRB ID : 34945

Title: Trp-cage fortified Tc5b-Exenatide chimera with disulfide bond cyclization (Ex-

4-Tc5bCC) at 310K

Authors : Horvath, D. Deposited on : 2024-08-06

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.38.2

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 52%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$egin{array}{l} { m NMR \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	
Clashscore	210492	14027	
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486	
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	A	25	36%	44%	-	16%	



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 3 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: closest to the average.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core	Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mode					
1	A:5-A:25 (21)	0.24	3			

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 3 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	${f Models}$		
1	3, 6, 7, 9, 10		
2	4, 5		
Single-model clusters	1; 2; 8		



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 384 atoms, of which 187 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Trp-cage fortified Tc5b-Exenatide chimera (Ex-4-Tc5bCC).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
1	Λ	25	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
$\begin{array}{c c} 1 & A \end{array}$	20	384	123	187	34	38	2	U	



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Trp-cage fortified Tc5b-Exenatide chimera (Ex-4-Tc5bCC)



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 3. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Trp-cage fortified Tc5b-Exenatide chimera (Ex-4-Tc5bCC)





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 20 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures* with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CcpNmr Analysis Assign	refinement	2.4.1
ARIA2alpha	structure calculation	2.3.1

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	168
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	168
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	52%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol Chain		В	Sond lengths	Bond angles		
MIOI	Chain	RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5	
1	A	0.83 ± 0.08	$0\pm0/170~(~0.2\pm~0.3\%)$	0.70 ± 0.03	$0\pm0/230~(~0.0\pm~0.0\%)$	
All	All	0.83	3/1700 (0.2%)	0.70	0/2300 (0.0%)	

All unique bond outliers are listed below.

Mal	Chain	Dec	Ттто	Atoma	7	Observed (Å)	Ideal(Å)	Mod	dels	
IVIOI	Chain	nes	туре	Atoms		$\operatorname{Observed}(\mathring{\mathbf{A}})$	Ideal(A)	Worst	Total	
1	A	8	TYR	CE2-CZ	5.91	1.46	1.38	4	3	

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	164	163	163	24±3
All	All	1640	1630	1630	235

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 72.

5 of 52 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$\operatorname{Distance}(\mathring{\mathbf{A}})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:8:TYR:O	1:A:11:TRP:HB3	0.77	1.79	1	10

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:5:VAL:O	1:A:9:ILE:HG13	0.75	1.82	9	10	
1:A:8:TYR:CE1	1:A:12:LEU:HD11	0.73	2.18	8	10	
1:A:5:VAL:HG12	1:A:9:ILE:CG1	0.72	2.14	4	7	
1:A:8:TYR:CZ	1:A:12:LEU:HD11	0.72	2.20	10	8	

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Pe	erc	entiles
1	A	20/25 (80%)	19±0 (95±0%)	1±0 (3±2%)	0±0 (2±2%)		8	50
All	All	200/250 (80%)	190 (95%)	6 (3%)	4 (2%)		8	50

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	15	GLY	4

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	18/22 (82%)	16±1 (88±6%)	2±1 (12±6%)	6 48		
All	All	180/220 (82%)	158 (88%)	22 (12%)	6 48		

5 of 6 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

\mathbf{Mol}	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	10	GLN	7

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	6	ARG	4
1	A	7	LEU	4
1	A	21	ARG	3
1	A	9	ILE	2

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 52% for the well-defined parts and 51% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: nef_chemical_shift_list_ShiftList_310K_20160428

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	168
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	168
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 52%, i.e. 147 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 284. 0 out of 3 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	41/100 (41%)	41/41 (100%)	0/42 (0%)	0/17 (0%)
Sidechain	96/163~(59%)	96/106~(91%)	0/49 (0%)	0/8 (0%)
Aromatic	10/21 (48%)	10/10 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	147/284 (52%)	147/157 (94%)	0/101 (0%)	0/26~(0%)



7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
2	A	16	GLY	HA2	0.74	2.15 - 5.77	-8.9
2	A	23	PRO	HA	2.28	2.78 - 6.00	-6.6

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	2016
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	948
Sequential $(i-j =1)$	375
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	378
Long range (i-j ≥5)	315
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	80.6
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	12.6

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	86.4	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	169.0	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	180.5	3.88



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Doodnointe tour	Count	% ¹	Vi	olated	3	Consis	tently	$\mathbf{Violated}^4$
Restraints type	Count	%0°	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	948	47.0	248	26.2	12.3	120	12.7	6.0
Backbone-Backbone	75	3.7	9	12.0	0.4	5	6.7	0.2
Backbone-Sidechain	417	20.7	126	30.2	6.2	38	9.1	1.9
Sidechain-Sidechain	456	22.6	113	24.8	5.6	77	16.9	3.8
Sequential (i-j =1)	375	18.6	119	31.7	5.9	49	13.1	2.4
Backbone-Backbone	96	4.8	15	15.6	0.7	8	8.3	0.4
Backbone-Sidechain	183	9.1	70	38.3	3.5	29	15.8	1.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	96	4.8	34	35.4	1.7	12	12.5	0.6
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	378	18.8	148	39.2	7.3	45	11.9	2.2
Backbone-Backbone	78	3.9	9	11.5	0.4	3	3.8	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	177	8.8	79	44.6	3.9	19	10.7	0.9
Sidechain-Sidechain	123	6.1	60	48.8	3.0	23	18.7	1.1
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	315	15.6	121	38.4	6.0	73	23.2	3.6
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	87	4.3	21	24.1	1.0	16	18.4	0.8
Sidechain-Sidechain	228	11.3	100	43.9	5.0	57	25.0	2.8
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	2016	100.0	636	31.5	31.5	287	14.2	14.2
Backbone-Backbone	249	12.4	33	13.3	1.6	16	6.4	0.8
Backbone-Sidechain	864	42.9	296	34.3	14.7	102	11.8	5.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	903	44.8	307	34.0	15.2	169	18.7	8.4

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	 S	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Median (Å)	
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	wiedian (A)	
1	187	67	96	98	0	448	0.57	3.8	0.5	0.42	
2	173	79	95	98	0	445	0.61	3.28	0.52	0.44	
3	184	71	83	92	0	430	0.54	3.28	0.47	0.4	
4	178	69	93	93	0	433	0.56	3.27	0.46	0.4	
5	180	71	87	92	0	430	0.56	3.28	0.47	0.4	
6	174	80	93	95	0	442	0.57	3.28	0.49	0.42	
7	176	85	96	96	0	453	0.61	3.82	0.52	0.43	
8	183	70	92	92	0	437	0.54	3.28	0.45	0.39	
9	186	76	94	99	0	455	0.57	3.88	0.5	0.41	
10	176	75	99	101	0	451	0.57	3.28	0.5	0.4	



 $^1{\rm Intra-residue}$ restraints, $^2{\rm Sequential}$ restraints, $^3{\rm Medium}$ range restraints, $^4{\rm Long}$ range restraints, $^5{\rm Inter-chain}$ restraints, $^6{\rm Standard}$ deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1380(IR:700, SQ:256, MR:230, LR:194, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble		
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$ IC^5 $	Total	Count ⁶	%	
13	12	10	13	0	48	1	10.0	
25	16	33	7	0	81	2	20.0	
15	13	4	0	0	32	3	30.0	

Continued on next page...




Continued	trom	mmoninonic	maaa
COHABABACA		DIEUIUU	DUIUE
0 0 1000100000			

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble		
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%	
12	14	8	6	0	40	4	40.0	
10	1	5	2	0	18	5	50.0	
14	1	10	3	0	28	6	60.0	
14	4	5	1	0	24	7	70.0	
16	6	14	4	0	40	8	80.0	
9	3	14	12	0	38	9	90.0	
120	49	45	73	0	287	10	100.0	

 $^{^1{\}rm Intra-residue}$ restraints, $^2{\rm Sequential}$ restraints, $^3{\rm Medium}$ range restraints, $^4{\rm Long}$ range restraints, $^5{\rm Inter-chain}$ restraints, $^6{\rm \ Number\ of\ models}$ with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	10	3.44	0.25	3.28
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.72	0.28	2.81

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(4,46)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.72	0.28	2.81
(2,474)	1:11:A:TRP:HD1	1:23:A:PRO:HD2	10	2.58	0.06	2.57
(4,35)	1:11:A:TRP:HD1	1:23:A:PRO:HD2	10	2.58	0.06	2.57
(2,594)	1:6:A:ARG:H	1:9:A:ILE:HG12	10	2.15	0.44	1.87
(4,42)	1:6:A:ARG:H	1:9:A:ILE:HG12	10	2.15	0.44	1.87
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,639)	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	10	2.06	0.28	2.16
(1,474)	1:11:A:TRP:HD1	1:23:A:PRO:HD2	10	1.98	0.06	1.96
(2,101)	1:9:A:ILE:HB	1:11:A:TRP:H	10	1.78	0.09	1.73


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	9	3.88
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	7	3.82
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	1	3.8
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	2	3.28
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	3	3.28
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	5	3.28
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	6	3.28
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	8	3.28
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	10	3.28
(1,669)	1:1:A:GLU:HA	1:1:A:GLU:HB2	4	3.27



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

No dihedral-angle restraints found

