

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 4, 2023 – 10:03 AM EDT

PDB ID : 8E4V BMRB ID : 31040

Title : Solution structure of the WH domain of MORF

Authors: Zhang, Y.; Kutateladze, T.G.

Deposited on : 2022-08-19

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 64%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive
Metric	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$(\# ext{Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length		Quality of chain			
		0.4					
1	A	84	17%	57%	10%	•	15%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 15 models. Model 10 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues				
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (A			Medoid model	
1	A:106-A:176 (71)	0.29	10	

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 12
2	1, 3, 6, 9, 11, 13
3	14, 15
Single-model clusters	7



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1346 atoms, of which 676 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Isoform 3 of Histone acetyltransferase KAT6B.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms			Trace		
1	Λ	0.1	Total	С	Н	N	О	0
	A	84	1346	409	676	132	129	U

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	99	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q8WYB5




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Isoform 3 of Histone acetyltransferase KAT6B



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 10. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Isoform 3 of Histone acetyltransferase KAT6B





Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 15 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	
X-PLOR NIH	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	786
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	786
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	64%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	576	583	583	90±10
All	All	8640	8745	8745	1353

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 78.

5 of 335 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:159:ALA:HB3	1:A:165:LEU:HD11	1.10	1.21	13	5	
1:A:114:ALA:HB3	1:A:129:ILE:HG23	0.98	1.36	15	15	
1:A:133:LEU:O	1:A:143:THR:HG21	0.95	1.62	5	12	
1:A:139:LEU:HD13	1:A:143:THR:CG2	0.94	1.93	12	1	
1:A:159:ALA:HB1	1:A:165:LEU:HD13	0.92	1.40	10	10	

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Pe	erce	entiles
1	A	71/84 (85%)	63±1 (89±2%)	4±2 (6±2%)	3±1 (5±1%)		4	26
All	All	1065/1260 (85%)	952 (89%)	61 (6%)	52 (5%)		4	26

5 of 8 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	107	TRP	15
1	A	140	THR	15
1	A	106	ASP	9
1	A	136	GLN	6
1	A	121	PRO	3

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	62/72~(86%)	53±1 (86±2%)	9±1 (14±2%)	7 47
All	All	930/1080 (86%)	801 (86%)	129 (14%)	7 47

5 of 21 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	125	SER	15
1	A	145	ASN	15
1	A	160	VAL	15
1	A	161	ASN	15
1	A	166	LEU	15

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.



6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 64% for the well-defined parts and 63% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: starch_output

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	786
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	786
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	4

The following errors were found when reading this chemical shift list.

• Chemical shift has been reported more than once. First 5 (of 0) occurrences are reported below.

T :~4 ID	Clasia.	Das	Т	A +	Shift Data		
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	pe Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	105	VAL	HG12	0.646	0.004	•
1	A	105	VAL	HG13	0.646	0.004	•
1	A	105	VAL	HG22	-0.632	0.002	
1	A	105	VAL	HG23	-0.632	0.002	•
1	A	110	LEU	HD22	0.796	0.002	•
1	A	110	LEU	HD23	0.796	0.002	•
1	A	114	ALA	HB2	1.514	0.009	•
1	A	114	ALA	HB3	1.514	0.009	•
1	A	115	ILE	HG22	1.179	0.007	•
1	A	115	ILE	HG23	1.179	0.007	
1	A	115	ILE	HD12	0.635	0.007	•
1	A	115	ILE	HD13	0.635	0.007	
1	A	118	LEU	HD12	0.712	0.005	•
1	A	118	LEU	HD13	0.712	0.005	

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Continue				A .	Shift Data			
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity	
1	A	118	LEU	HD22	1.009	0.004		
1	A	118	LEU	HD23	1.009	0.004		
1	A	126	LEU	HD12	0.507	0.005		
1	A	126	LEU	HD13	0.507	0.005		
1	A	126	LEU	HD22	0.639	0.005		
1	A	126	LEU	HD23	0.639	0.005		
1	A	129	ILE	HD12	0.534	0.007		
1	A	129	ILE	HD13	0.534	0.007		
1	A	140	THR	HG22	1.191	0.002	•	
1	A	140	THR	HG23	1.191	0.002	•	
1	A	142	THR	HG22	1.123	0.006		
1	A	142	THR	HG23	1.123	0.006	•	
1	A	143	THR	HG22	0.403	0.002		
1	A	143	THR	HG23	0.403	0.002	•	
1	A	147	ALA	HB2	1.445	0.003		
1	A	147	ALA	HB3	1.445	0.003		
1	A	156	ALA	HB2	1.052	0.003	•	
1	A	156	ALA	HB3	1.052	0.003		
1	A	159	ALA	HB2	1.497	0.007		
1	A	159	ALA	HB3	1.497	0.007		
1	A	160	VAL	HG12	1.186	0.003		
1	A	160	VAL	HG13	1.186	0.003		
1	A	160	VAL	HG22	1.023	0.004		
1	A	160	VAL	HG23	1.023	0.004		
1	A	165	LEU	HD12	0.809	0.008		
1	A	165	LEU	HD13	0.809	0.008		
1	A	165	LEU	HD22	0.825	0.005		
1	A	165	LEU	HD23	0.825	0.005		
1	A	166	LEU	HD12	0.802	0.005		
1	A	166	LEU	HD13	0.802	0.005		
1	A	174	VAL	HG12	0.889	0.004		
1	A	174	VAL	HG13	0.889	0.004	•	
1	A	174	VAL	HG22	0.088	0.003		
1	A	174	VAL	HG23	0.088	0.003		

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction \pm precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	68	-0.44 ± 0.18	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
			0 1 1





Continued from previous page...

Nucleus	# values	Correction \pm precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	62	0.15 ± 0.16	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
¹³ C′	0	_	None (insufficient data)
^{15}N	77	0.28 ± 0.25	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 64%, i.e. 650 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1015. 0 out of 12 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	263/354~(74%)	139/144~(97%)	58/142 (41%)	66/68~(97%)
Sidechain	370/612 (60%)	252/390~(65%)	106/181 (59%)	12/41 (29%)
Aromatic	17/49~(35%)	17/23 (74%)	0/25~(0%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	650/1015~(64%)	408/557 (73%)	164/348 (47%)	78/110 (71%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.


List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	167	LYS	HD3	0.28	0.54 - 2.65	-6.2
1	A	105	VAL	HG21	-0.63	-0.58 - 2.19	-5.2
1	A	105	VAL	HG22	-0.63	-0.58 - 2.19	-5.2
1	A	105	VAL	HG23	-0.63	-0.58 - 2.19	-5.2

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:







8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1603
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	602
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	396
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	316
Long range (i-j ≥5)	289
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	104
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	20.3
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	3.4

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	109.3	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	25.7	0.35
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

$\mathbf{Bins}\;(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	\mathbf{Max} (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	2.0	3.8
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Doodnointe tour	Count	% ¹	Vi	olated	3	Consis	tentl	\mathbf{y} Violated 4
Restraints type	Count	%0°	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	602	37.6	94	15.6	5.9	26	4.3	1.6
Backbone-Backbone	72	4.5	5	6.9	0.3	2	2.8	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	345	21.5	62	18.0	3.9	17	4.9	1.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	185	11.5	27	14.6	1.7	7	3.8	0.4
Sequential (i-j =1)	396	24.7	61	15.4	3.8	14	3.5	0.9
Backbone-Backbone	154	9.6	14	9.1	0.9	5	3.2	0.3
Backbone-Sidechain	207	12.9	38	18.4	2.4	8	3.9	0.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	35	2.2	9	25.7	0.6	1	2.9	0.1
Medium range ($ i-j >1 & i-j <5$)	316	19.7	72	22.8	4.5	6	1.9	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	115	7.2	11	9.6	0.7	2	1.7	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	143	8.9	41	28.7	2.6	3	2.1	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	58	3.6	20	34.5	1.2	1	1.7	0.1
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	289	18.0	62	21.5	3.9	8	2.8	0.5
Backbone-Backbone	38	2.4	3	7.9	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	145	9.0	31	21.4	1.9	6	4.1	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	106	6.6	28	26.4	1.7	2	1.9	0.1
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1603	100.0	289	18.0	18.0	54	3.4	3.4
Backbone-Backbone	379	23.6	33	8.7	2.1	9	2.4	0.6
Backbone-Sidechain	840	52.4	172	20.5	10.7	34	4.0	2.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	384	24.0	84	21.9	5.2	11	2.9	0.7

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Morr (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Modian (Å)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
1	56	27	31	30	0	144	0.16	0.29	0.04	0.16
2	53	29	31	27	0	140	0.17	0.3	0.04	0.16
3	53	30	20	26	0	129	0.16	0.29	0.04	0.16
4	53	25	30	24	0	132	0.17	0.31	0.05	0.16
5	57	28	26	22	0	133	0.16	0.3	0.04	0.16
6	53	25	32	23	0	133	0.17	0.29	0.04	0.17
7	57	30	27	29	0	143	0.17	0.29	0.04	0.16
8	56	31	30	24	0	141	0.16	0.28	0.04	0.15
9	51	29	27	30	0	137	0.16	0.29	0.04	0.16
10	54	27	25	25	0	131	0.16	0.29	0.04	0.15
11	50	32	20	21	0	123	0.17	0.31	0.04	0.16

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	IR' SQ' MR' LR' IC' Total		Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)					
12	55	27	23	24	0	129	0.16	0.29	0.04	0.15
13	53	34	24	24	0	135	0.17	0.35	0.05	0.16
14	45	29	33	30	0	137	0.17	0.31	0.05	0.16
15	50	29	28	31	0	138	0.17	0.3	0.05	0.16

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for




a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1314(IR:508, SQ:335, MR:244, LR:227, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio		restra	aints	Fraction	Fraction of the ensemble		
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$ IC^5 $	Total	Count ⁶	%		
9	7	19	11	0	46	1	6.7		
9	11	8	10	0	38	2	13.3		
9	6	3	5	0	23	3	20.0		
7	5	7	3	0	22	4	26.7		
4	3	5	5	0	17	5	33.3		
4	2	5	2	0	13	6	40.0		
2	2	5	2	0	11	7	46.7		
5	3	5	4	0	17	8	53.3		
2	2	1	2	0	7	9	60.0		
3	2	1	3	0	9	10	66.7		
4	0	0	4	0	8	11	73.3		
2	1	1	2	0	6	12	80.0		
2	2	0	1	0	5	13	86.7		
6	1	6	0	0	13	14	93.3		
26	14	6	8	0	54	15	100.0		

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations



9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,217)	1:A:134:ARG:H	1:A:134:ARG:HB3	15	0.29	0.0	0.29
(1,809)	1:A:151:ARG:HA	1:A:150:GLN:HB2	15	0.28	0.01	0.28
(1,753)	1:A:142:THR:HA	1:A:143:THR:HA	15	0.25	0.03	0.25
(1,653)	1:A:119:GLU:H	1:A:118:LEU:HG	15	0.24	0.02	0.24
(1,557)	1:A:175:ASN:HB2	1:A:175:ASN:HA	15	0.23	0.01	0.23
(1,920)	1:A:171:GLN:HA	1:A:170:PRO:HB3	15	0.23	0.05	0.24
(1,1064)	1:A:132:TYR:HB2	1:A:135:SER:H	15	0.23	0.02	0.23
(1,547)	1:A:174:VAL:HA	1:A:174:VAL:HG11	15	0.23	0.02	0.23
(1,547)	1:A:174:VAL:HA	1:A:174:VAL:HG12	15	0.23	0.02	0.23
(1,547)	1:A:174:VAL:HA	1:A:174:VAL:HG13	15	0.23	0.02	0.23
(1,548)	1:A:174:VAL:HG21	1:A:174:VAL:HA	15	0.22	0.02	0.23
(1,548)	1:A:174:VAL:HG22	1:A:174:VAL:HA	15	0.22	0.02	0.23
(1,548)	1:A:174:VAL:HG23	1:A:174:VAL:HA	15	0.22	0.02	0.23
(1,29)	1:A:106:ASP:HA	1:A:106:ASP:H	15	0.22	0.03	0.21


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation



9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,670)	1:A:120:GLU:H	1:A:121:PRO:HD2	13	0.35
(1,753)	1:A:142:THR:HA	1:A:143:THR:HA	14	0.31
(1,670)	1:A:120:GLU:H	1:A:121:PRO:HD2	11	0.31
(1,125)	1:A:120:GLU:HB2	1:A:120:GLU:H	4	0.31
(1,991)	1:A:108:ASN:H	1:A:112:ARG:H	5	0.3
(1,753)	1:A:142:THR:HA	1:A:143:THR:HA	15	0.3
(1,1391)	1:A:125:SER:HA	1:A:172:TYR:HD1	2	0.3
(1,1391)	1:A:125:SER:HA	1:A:172:TYR:HD2	2	0.3
(1,920)	1:A:171:GLN:HA	1:A:170:PRO:HB3	14	0.29
(1,9)	1:A:103:ARG:HD2	1:A:103:ARG:HG3	10	0.29
(1,809)	1:A:151:ARG:HA	1:A:150:GLN:HB2	1	0.29



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	$_{o_{7}1}$ Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	52	50.0	2	3.8	1.9	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	52	50.0	2	3.8	1.9	0	0.0	0.0
Total	104	100.0	4	3.8	3.8	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Num	ber o	f violations	Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Model 1D	PHI	PSI	Total	Mean ()	Max ()	SD ()	Median ()
1	1	2	3	2.0	2.9	0.64	1.6
2	1	1	2	1.55	1.6	0.05	1.55
3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	1	0	1	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3
5	1	1	2	1.95	2.8	0.85	1.95
6	1	2	3	1.47	1.8	0.25	1.4
7	1	2	3	1.43	2.1	0.47	1.1
8	1	2	3	1.7	1.9	0.22	1.8
9	1	0	1	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6
10	1	0	1	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.4
11	0	1	1	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.4
12	1	1	2	2.7	3.8	1.1	2.7
13	1	2	3	1.57	1.9	0.25	1.5
14	1	2	3	2.63	3.5	0.63	2.4
15	0	2	2	1.7	1.8	0.1	1.7

10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i) 10.3




Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	ber o	f violated restraints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
PHI	PSI	Total	Count ¹	%
1	0	1	1	6.7
0	0	0	2	13.3
0	0	0	3	20.0
0	0	0	4	26.7
0	0	0	5	33.3
0	0	0	6	40.0
0	1	1	7	46.7
0	0	0	8	53.3
0	0	0	9	60.0
0	0	0	10	66.7
1	1	2	11	73.3
0	0	0	12	80.0
0	0	0	13	86.7
0	0	0	14	93.3
0	0	0	15	100.0

¹ Number of models with violations



10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,88)	1:A:166:LEU:C	1:A:167:LYS:N	1:A:167:LYS:CA	1:A:167:LYS:C	11	2.0	0.72	1.9
(1,93)	1:A:170:PRO:N	1:A:170:PRO:CA	1:A:170:PRO:C	1:A:171:GLN:N	11	1.95	0.74	1.8
(1,91)	1:A:168:ASP:N	1:A:168:ASP:CA	1:A:168:ASP:C	1:A:169:GLY:N	7	1.47	0.26	1.4


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,93)	1:A:170:PRO:N	1:A:170:PRO:CA	1:A:170:PRO:C	1:A:171:GLN:N	12	3.8
(1,88)	1:A:166:LEU:C	1:A:167:LYS:N	1:A:167:LYS:CA	1:A:167:LYS:C	14	3.5
(1,88)	1:A:166:LEU:C	1:A:167:LYS:N	1:A:167:LYS:CA	1:A:167:LYS:C	1	2.9
(1,93)	1:A:170:PRO:N	1:A:170:PRO:CA	1:A:170:PRO:C	1:A:171:GLN:N	5	2.8
(1,88)	1:A:166:LEU:C	1:A:167:LYS:N	1:A:167:LYS:CA	1:A:167:LYS:C	9	2.6
(1,93)	1:A:170:PRO:N	1:A:170:PRO:CA	1:A:170:PRO:C	1:A:171:GLN:N	14	2.4
(1,88)	1:A:166:LEU:C	1:A:167:LYS:N	1:A:167:LYS:CA	1:A:167:LYS:C	4	2.3
(1,104)	1:A:175:ASN:C	1:A:176:TYR:N	1:A:176:TYR:CA	1:A:176:TYR:C	7	2.1
(1,91)	1:A:168:ASP:N	1:A:168:ASP:CA	1:A:168:ASP:C	1:A:169:GLY:N	14	2.0
(1,88)	1:A:166:LEU:C	1:A:167:LYS:N	1:A:167:LYS:CA	1:A:167:LYS:C	8	1.9

