wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Oct 15, 2026 – 05:48 pm BST

PDB ID	:	34XH / pdb_000034xh
BMRB ID	:	35096
Title	:	Complex between DNA hairpin containing a single GG mismatch and a lanthanide ion
Authors	:	Andralojc, W.; Pawlowicz-Perczak, A.
Deposited on	:	2026-10-09

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at
http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4-5-2 with Phenix2.2
Mogul	:	1.8.4, CSD as541be (2020)
Percentile statistics	:	20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.3.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.51

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 46%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	23	91%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.

3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 733 atoms, of which 257 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a DNA chain called DNA hairpin - GG mismatch.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms							Trace
			Total	Br	C	H	N	O	P	
1	A	23	732	1	224	257	94	134	22	0

- Molecule 2 is LUTETIUM (III) ION (CCD ID: LU) (formula: Lu) (labeled as "Ligand of Interest" by depositor).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms	
			Total	Lu
2	A	1	1	1

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: DNA hairpin - GG mismatch

Chain A:



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: DNA hairpin - GG mismatch

Chain A:



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *molecular dynamics*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *target function*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	6
Total number of shifts	802
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	802
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	46%

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: BGM, LU

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z| > 5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	1.72±0.02	1±1/508 (0.1± 0.2%)	2.49±0.03	50±3/783 (6.4± 0.4%)
All	All	1.72	15/10160 (0.1%)	2.49	999/15660 (6.4%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	2.2±1.2
All	All	0	44

5 of 9 unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	19	BGM	O3'-P	5.60	1.61	1.56	5	6
1	A	1	DC	C5'-C4'	5.51	1.55	1.51	13	2
1	A	9	DC	C4-N4	-5.35	1.29	1.33	15	1
1	A	17	DA	C5'-C4'	5.35	1.55	1.51	16	1
1	A	10	DG	C5'-C4'	5.30	1.55	1.51	19	1

5 of 121 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	12	DA	N1-C6-N6	-11.88	111.47	118.60	18	20
1	A	1	DC	N1-C2-O2	11.44	125.76	118.90	16	11
1	A	4	DA	N1-C6-N6	-11.35	111.79	118.60	2	20

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(^{o})	Ideal(^{o})	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	18	DA	O5'-C5'-C4'	11.10	118.28	109.40	14	20
1	A	1	DC	N3-C2-O2	-11.01	114.20	121.90	16	19

There are no chirality outliers.

5 of 10 unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	13	DA	Sidechain	16
1	A	5	DG	Sidechain	7
1	A	6	DT	Sidechain	6
1	A	4	DA	Sidechain	5
1	A	10	DG	Sidechain	3

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	9520	5140	5136	-

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

1 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residue is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with $|Z| > 2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Bond lengths		
					Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	BGM	A	19	1	22,25,26	0.73±0.05	0±0 (0±1%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with $|Z| > 2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Bond angles		
					Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	BGM	A	19	1	31,37,40	1.53±0.13	4±1 (11±2%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	BGM	A	19	1	-	0±0,7,21,22	0±0,3,3,3

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	19	BGM	C1'-N9	2.15	1.50	1.47	4	1
1	A	19	BGM	BR-C8	2.02	1.89	1.86	17	1

5 of 8 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(^{o})	Ideal(^{o})	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	19	BGM	O4'-C1'-N9	7.29	115.63	108.29	17	20
1	A	19	BGM	BR-C8-N9	4.04	125.99	121.97	6	20
1	A	19	BGM	BR-C8-N7	3.80	119.07	123.70	6	20
1	A	19	BGM	N9-C4-N3	2.64	128.83	125.81	14	9
1	A	19	BGM	O5'-C5'-C4'	2.43	117.25	108.99	9	1

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 1 ligands modelled in this entry, 1 is monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 46% for the well-defined parts and 46% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *chemical_shift_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	217
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	217
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 41%, i.e. 181 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 442. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Sugar	150/264 (57%)	150/154 (97%)	0/110 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	31/178 (17%)	31/112 (28%)	0/36 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	181/442 (41%)	181/266 (68%)	0/146 (0%)	0/30 (0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules con-

taining paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, *ppm*	Expected range, *ppm*	Z-score
1	A	12	DA	H4'	2.21	2.85 – 5.81	-7.1

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *chemical_shift_2*

7.2.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	92
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	92
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 18%, i.e. 80 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 442. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Sugar	30/264 (11%)	30/154 (19%)	0/110 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	50/178 (28%)	50/112 (45%)	0/36 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	80/442 (18%)	80/266 (30%)	0/146 (0%)	0/30 (0%)

7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.3 Chemical shift list 3

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *chemical_shift_3*

7.3.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	160
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	160
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.3.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.3.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 33%, i.e. 146 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 442. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Sugar	95/264 (36%)	95/154 (62%)	0/110 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	51/178 (29%)	51/112 (46%)	0/36 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	146/442 (33%)	146/266 (55%)	0/146 (0%)	0/30 (0%)

7.3.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.3.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.4 Chemical shift list 4

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *chemical_shift_4*

7.4.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	140
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	140
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.4.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.4.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 29%, i.e. 127 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 442. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Sugar	78/264 (30%)	78/154 (51%)	0/110 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	49/178 (28%)	49/112 (44%)	0/36 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	127/442 (29%)	127/266 (48%)	0/146 (0%)	0/30 (0%)

7.4.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.4.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.5 Chemical shift list 5

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *chemical_shift_5*

7.5.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	129
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	129
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.5.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.5.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 26%, i.e. 116 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 442. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Sugar	70/264 (27%)	70/154 (45%)	0/110 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	46/178 (26%)	46/112 (41%)	0/36 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	116/442 (26%)	116/266 (44%)	0/146 (0%)	0/30 (0%)

7.5.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.5.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.6 Chemical shift list 6

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *chemical_shift_6*

7.6.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	64
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	64
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.6.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.6.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 13%, i.e. 59 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 442. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	**^{1}H**	**^{13}C**	**^{15}N**
Sugar	28/264 (11%)	28/154 (18%)	0/110 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	31/178 (17%)	31/112 (28%)	0/36 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	59/442 (13%)	59/266 (22%)	0/146 (0%)	0/30 (0%)

7.6.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.6.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	356
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	136
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	152
Medium range (|i-j|>1 and |i-j|<5)	0
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	10
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	58
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	95
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	18.8
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	2.4

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å
are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	1.8	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	2.2	0.46
>0.5 (Large)	None	None

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	1.1	9.46
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	0.2	11.23
>20.0 (Large)	None	None

9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	136	38.2	2	1.5	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	7	2.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	129	36.2	2	1.6	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	152	42.7	14	9.2	3.9	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	24	6.7	2	8.3	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	100	28.1	11	11.0	3.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	28	7.9	1	3.6	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range (|i-j|>1 & |i-j|<5)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	10	2.8	3	30.0	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	10	2.8	3	30.0	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	58	16.3	4	6.9	1.1	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	356	100.0	23	6.5	6.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	31	8.7	2	6.5	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	229	64.3	13	5.7	3.7	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	96	27.0	8	8.3	2.2	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å
are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
1	0	4	0	1	0	5	0.18	0.32	0.07	0.14
2	0	3	0	1	0	4	0.24	0.34	0.1	0.26
3	0	1	0	2	0	3	0.16	0.21	0.04	0.16
4	0	4	0	1	0	5	0.22	0.34	0.09	0.22
5	0	4	0	1	0	5	0.26	0.31	0.06	0.29
6	0	2	0	4	0	6	0.19	0.33	0.08	0.17
7	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.22	0.22	0.0	0.22
8	0	1	0	1	0	2	0.28	0.36	0.08	0.28
9	1	1	0	1	0	3	0.25	0.38	0.09	0.22
10	1	3	0	2	0	6	0.22	0.46	0.13	0.15

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
11	0	1	0	1	0	2	0.27	0.29	0.02	0.27
12	0	2	0	1	0	3	0.32	0.46	0.1	0.25
13	0	4	0	2	0	6	0.21	0.28	0.06	0.24
14	0	2	0	1	0	3	0.2	0.28	0.08	0.23
15	0	5	0	2	0	7	0.23	0.41	0.11	0.25
16	0	4	0	1	0	5	0.19	0.28	0.07	0.17
17	0	2	0	1	0	3	0.23	0.36	0.1	0.22
18	0	1	0	3	0	4	0.16	0.23	0.04	0.15
19	1	2	0	2	0	5	0.2	0.35	0.09	0.18
20	1	3	0	2	0	6	0.22	0.3	0.06	0.22

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6]Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 279(IR:134, SQ:138, MR:0, LR:7, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
1	6	0	1	0	8	1	5.0
0	4	0	0	0	4	2	10.0
1	2	0	0	0	3	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6] Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,125)	1:10:A:DG:H8	1:9:A:DC:H4'	19	0.26	0.06	0.25
(1,281)	1:4:A:DA:H2	1:21:A:DG:H1	16	0.29	0.1	0.3
(1,95)	1:8:A:DG:H3'	1:9:A:DC:H5	11	0.22	0.09	0.24
(1,285)	1:6:A:DT:H3	1:17:A:DA:H2	6	0.14	0.04	0.14
(1,128)	1:11:A:DG:H1'	1:12:A:DA:H5'	3	0.2	0.06	0.18
(1,128)	1:11:A:DG:H1'	1:12:A:DA:H5"	3	0.2	0.06	0.18
(1,3)	1:1:A:DC:H2'	1:1:A:DC:H6	3	0.19	0.06	0.16
(1,269)	1:22:A:DC:H6	1:21:A:DG:H8	3	0.16	0.07	0.11
(1,80)	1:7:A:DT:H3'	1:8:A:DG:H8	2	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,23)	1:2:A:DG:H3'	1:3:A:DC:H6	2	0.18	0.06	0.18
(2,3)	1:23:A:DG:O6	1:1:A:DC:N4	2	0.17	0.06	0.17

[1]Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,281)	1:4:A:DA:H2	1:21:A:DG:H1	10	0.46
(1,281)	1:4:A:DA:H2	1:21:A:DG:H1	12	0.46
(1,281)	1:4:A:DA:H2	1:21:A:DG:H1	15	0.41
(1,125)	1:10:A:DG:H8	1:9:A:DC:H4'	9	0.38
(1,281)	1:4:A:DA:H2	1:21:A:DG:H1	17	0.36
(1,125)	1:10:A:DG:H8	1:9:A:DC:H4'	8	0.36
(1,281)	1:4:A:DA:H2	1:21:A:DG:H1	19	0.35
(1,125)	1:10:A:DG:H8	1:9:A:DC:H4'	2	0.34
(1,125)	1:10:A:DG:H8	1:9:A:DC:H4'	4	0.34
(1,281)	1:4:A:DA:H2	1:21:A:DG:H1	2	0.33

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
ALPHA	21	22.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
BETA	15	15.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI	22	23.2	1	4.5	1.1	0	0.0	0.0
GAMMA	15	15.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
ZETA	22	23.2	6	27.3	6.3	0	0.0	0.0
Total	95	100.0	7	7.4	7.4	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Medi
	ALPHA	BETA	CHI	GAMMA	ZETA	Total				
1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.31	1.31	0.0	1.
2	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.25	3.25	0.0	3.
3	0	0	0	0	2	2	6.66	9.46	2.81	6.
4	0	0	1	0	2	3	3.31	5.94	1.86	2.
5	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.92	5.92	0.0	5.
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
7	0	0	0	0	1	1	2.24	2.24	0.0	2.
8	0	0	0	0	1	1	11.23	11.23	0.0	11
9	0	0	1	0	0	1	2.72	2.72	0.0	2.
10	0	0	0	0	1	1	7.74	7.74	0.0	7.
11	0	0	0	0	3	3	10.55	10.97	0.38	10
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
13	0	0	0	0	1	1	3.94	3.94	0.0	3.
14	0	0	0	0	2	2	6.24	7.16	0.92	6.
15	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.97	5.97	0.0	5.
16	0	0	0	0	1	1	8.88	8.88	0.0	8.
17	0	0	0	0	3	3	1.37	1.69	0.23	1.
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
20	0	0	0	0	2	2	7.19	7.63	0.44	7.

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
ALPHA	BETA	CHI	GAMMA	ZETA	Total	Count[1]	%
0	0	0	0	3	3	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	3	15.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
ALPHA	BETA	CHI	GAMMA	ZETA	Total	Count[1]	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

[1] Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)



10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models[1]	Mean	SD[2]	Median
(1,59)	1:8:A:DG:C3'	1:8:A:DG:O3'	1:9:A:DC:P	1:9:A:DC:O5'	10	6.04	2.51	5.96
(1,66)	1:15:A:DG:C3'	1:15:A:DG:O3'	1:16:A:DC:P	1:16:A:DC:O5'	5	4.32	2.94	2.24
(1,86)	1:13:A:DA:O4'	1:13:A:DA:C1'	1:13:A:DA:N9	1:13:A:DA:C4	4	2.3	0.74	2.33
(1,67)	1:16:A:DC:C3'	1:16:A:DC:O3'	1:17:A:DA:P	1:17:A:DA:O5'	3	8.67	2.47	9.46

[1] Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,67)	1:16:A:DC:C3'	1:16:A:DC:O3'	1:17:A:DA:P	1:17:A:DA:O5'	8	11.23
(1,59)	1:8:A:DG:C3'	1:8:A:DG:O3'	1:9:A:DC:P	1:9:A:DC:O5'	11	10.97
(1,71)	1:20:A:DT:C3'	1:20:A:DT:O3'	1:21:A:DG:P	1:21:A:DG:O5'	11	10.63
(1,52)	1:1:A:DC:C3'	1:1:A:DC:O3'	1:2:A:DG:P	1:2:A:DG:O5'	11	10.05
(1,67)	1:16:A:DC:C3'	1:16:A:DC:O3'	1:17:A:DA:P	1:17:A:DA:O5'	3	9.46
(1,66)	1:15:A:DG:C3'	1:15:A:DG:O3'	1:16:A:DC:P	1:16:A:DC:O5'	16	8.88
(1,59)	1:8:A:DG:C3'	1:8:A:DG:O3'	1:9:A:DC:P	1:9:A:DC:O5'	10	7.74
(1,59)	1:8:A:DG:C3'	1:8:A:DG:O3'	1:9:A:DC:P	1:9:A:DC:O5'	20	7.63
(1,59)	1:8:A:DG:C3'	1:8:A:DG:O3'	1:9:A:DC:P	1:9:A:DC:O5'	14	7.16
(1,66)	1:15:A:DG:C3'	1:15:A:DG:O3'	1:16:A:DC:P	1:16:A:DC:O5'	20	6.75