

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

## Aug 7, 2020 – 12:47 AM BST

PDB ID	:	6U6P
$\operatorname{Title}$	:	Solution NMR Structure Of The Full Length Latent Form MinE Protein From
		Neisseria gonorrheae
Authors	:	Cai, M.; Shen, Y.; Clore, M.
Deposited on	:	2019-08-30

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI	:	$v_1n_11_5_13_A$ (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
${ m ShiftChecker}$	:	2.13.1
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.13.1

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION \ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 22%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	А	81	86%	•	•	6%
1	В	81	93%			• •



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 9 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues											
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model								
1	A:6-A:81, B:1-B:81 (157)	0.92	9								

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 5, 7, 9
2	3, 4
3	6, 10
Single-model clusters	1; 8



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 2646 atoms, of which 1354 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Cell division topological specificity factor.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms						
1	Δ	01	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	S	0	
1	А	81	1323	405	677	111	126	4		
1	В	01	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	S	0	
	D	01	1323	405	677	111	126	4	0	

There are 6 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	10	GLN	ARG	$\operatorname{conflict}$	UNP P 58152
А	11	MET	LYS	conflict	UNP P58152
A	46	ALA	GLU	conflict	UNP P 58152
В	10	GLN	ARG	$\operatorname{conflict}$	UNP P 58152
В	11	MET	LYS	conflict	UNP P58152
В	46	ALA	GLU	$\operatorname{conflict}$	UNP P58152




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

# 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor



# 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1





### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor



• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor

Chain B: 88%	9%	•
路 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 11		

## 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3


• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor

Chain A:	85%	5%	•	6%
M1 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 1				

• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor

Cl	hai	n Ba	:		91%	5%	·
W	P	T14 A15	P37 D38 140 140	L81			

### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4








## 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor



• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor

Cł	nain	В	: -												84%	11%	5%
M1	LG L7	T14	A15	m (	AJ1	T36	P37	D38	140	D41	171	A46	V50	181			

### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6


• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor


Chain A:	89%	•	•	6%
M 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				

• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor

Chain B:	94%	•	·
M1 114 114 115 187 181			

### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7








### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor



• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor

(	ľh	aiı	n I	3:									89%	7%	
Μ		4	T14		<b>A31</b>	P37	D38	Y39	L40	P41	069	<b>L</b> 81			

## 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9 (medoid)


• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor

Chain A:	86%	·	·	6%
M 22 23 24 23 25 24 23 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25				

• Molecule 1: Cell division topological specificity factor

Chain B:	91%	5%	·
A15 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115			

### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10





# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *na*.

Of the 20000 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CS-ROSETTA	refinement	
CS-ROSETTA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	460
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	460
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	22%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.



#### 6 Model quality (i)

#### Standard geometry (i) 6.1

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

#### 6.2Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	607	633	633	$7\pm5$
1	В	646	677	677	8±4
All	All	12530	13100	13098	130

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 5.

Models ~

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)		leis
Atom-1	Atom-2		Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:44:ARG:NH2	1:A:64:ILE:CD1	1.52	1.69	4	1
1:B:44:ARG:NH2	1:B:64:ILE:CD1	1.50	1.69	4	1
1:A:50:VAL:HG21	1:B:46:ALA:CB	1.13	1.73	5	1
1:A:46:ALA:CB	1:B:50:VAL:HG21	1.13	1.73	5	1
1:B:44:ARG:NH2	1:B:64:ILE:HD11	1.11	1.51	4	1
1:A:44:ARG:NH2	1:A:64:ILE:HD13	1.06	1.57	4	1
1:B:44:ARG:NH2	1:B:64:ILE:HD13	1.04	1.57	4	1
1:A:44:ARG:NH2	1:A:64:ILE:HD11	1.04	1.51	4	1
1:A:50:VAL:HG21	1:B:46:ALA:HB2	0.99	1.29	5	1
1:A:6:LEU:HD11	1:B:1:MET:CE	0.99	1.87	9	1
1:A:44:ARG:CZ	1:A:64:ILE:HD13	0.98	1.88	4	1
1:B:44:ARG:CZ	1:B:64:ILE:HD13	0.98	1.88	4	1
1:A:46:ALA:HB2	1:B:50:VAL:HG21	0.98	1.29	5	1



Continued from pre-	vious page				
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Moo	
1 4 44 450 07		. ,	. ,	Worst	Total
1:A:44:ARG:CZ	1:A:64:ILE:CD1	0.90	2.49	4	1
1:B:44:ARG:CZ	1:B:64:ILE:CD1	0.87	2.49	4	1
1:B:44:ARG:NH2	1:B:64:ILE:HD12	0.85	1.85	4	1
1:A:44:ARG:NH2	1:A:64:ILE:HD12	0.85	1.85	4	1
1:A:44:ARG:HH22	1:A:64:ILE:CD1	0.82	1.83	4	1
1:B:44:ARG:HH22	1:B:64:ILE:CD1	0.81	1.83	4	1
1:A:50:VAL:HG21	1:B:46:ALA:HB1	0.78	1.54	5	1
1:A:69:GLN:OE1	1:B:4:ILE:HD13	0.77	1.78	8	1
1:A:46:ALA:HB1	1:B:50:VAL:HG21	0.74	1.54	5	1
1:A:6:LEU:HD11	1:B:1:MET:SD	0.74	2.22	9	1
1:A:6:LEU:CD1	1:B:1:MET:CE	0.73	2.66	9	1
1:B:30:ARG:O	1:B:30:ARG:HG3	0.71	1.85	10	1
1:A:30:ARG:HG3	1:A:30:ARG:O	0.71	1.85	10	1
1:A:55:VAL:HG11	1:A:79:ILE:HD11	0.64	1.69	4	1
1:B:55:VAL:HG11	1:B:79:ILE:HD11	0.62	1.69	4	1
1:A:37:PRO:O	1:A:38:ASP:CB	0.61	2.48	3	10
1:B:37:PRO:O	1:B:38:ASP:CB	0.61	2.48	3	10
1:A:34:GLY:HA2	1:B:20:ASP:OD1	0.60	1.96	2	1
1:A:20:ASP:OD1	1:B:34:GLY:HA2	0.59	1.96	2	1
1:A:6:LEU:CD1	1:B:1:MET:HE1	0.59	2.28	9	1
1:A:50:VAL:CG2	1:B:46:ALA:CB	0.58	2.68	5	1
1:B:30:ARG:O	1:B:30:ARG:CG	0.55	2.55	10	1
1:B:30:ARG:HG3	1:B:30:ARG:O	0.55	2.02	5	1
1:A:30:ARG:O	1:A:30:ARG:HG3	0.54	2.02	5	1
1:B:3:LEU:C	1:B:3:LEU:HD13	0.52	2.25	7	2
1:A:6:LEU:HD13	1:B:6:LEU:HD13	0.52	1.82	5	1
1:A:30:ARG:CG	1:A:30:ARG:O	0.52	2.55	10	1
1:A:46:ALA:CB	1:B:50:VAL:CG2	0.51	2.68	5	1
1:B:4:ILE:O	1:B:4:ILE:HD13	0.51	2.05	1	1
1:A:6:LEU:HD11	1:B:1:MET:HE3	0.48	1.76	9	1
1:A:46:ALA:HB1	1:B:50:VAL:CG2	0.48	2.32	5	1
1:B:40:LEU:N	1:B:41:PRO:HD2	0.47	2.25	8	6
1:A:50:VAL:CG2	1:B:46:ALA:HB2	0.47	2.21	5	1
1:B:4:ILE:CD1	1:B:4:ILE:N	0.47	2.77	6	1
1:A:14:THR:OG1	1:A:15:ALA:N	0.46	2.48	3	9
1:B:44:ARG:HH22	1:B:64:ILE:HD12	0.46	1.56	4	1
1:A:40:LEU:N	1:A:41:PRO:HD2	0.46	2.25	8	6
1:B:14:THR:OG1	1:B:15:ALA:N	0.46	2.49	10	9
1:A:8:PHE:CD2	1:A:8:PHE:O	0.45	2.69	1	1
1:B:8:PHE:O	1:B:8:PHE:CD2	0.45	2.69	1	1
1:A:54:TYR:HB3	1:B:39:TYR:CE2	0.45	2.46	10	1

Continued from previous page...



Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Moo	dels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:37:PRO:O	1:A:38:ASP:HB3	0.44	2.12	3	5
1:A:50:VAL:CG2	1:B:46:ALA:HB1	0.44	2.32	5	1
1:A:39:TYR:CE2	1:B:54:TYR:HB3	0.44	2.46	10	1
1:A:51:LEU:O	1:A:55:VAL:N	0.44	2.51	2	1
1:B:37:PRO:O	1:B:38:ASP:HB3	0.44	2.12	3	8
1:A:44:ARG:HH22	1:A:64:ILE:HD12	0.44	1.56	4	1
1:B:51:LEU:O	1:B:55:VAL:N	0.44	2.51	2	1
1:A:46:ALA:HB2	1:B:50:VAL:CG2	0.44	2.21	5	1
1:A:8:PHE:CZ	1:A:12:GLN:HA	0.43	2.49	1	1
1:A:27:ALA:HB2	1:B:3:LEU:HD23	0.43	1.91	2	1
1:B:55:VAL:HG11	1:B:79:ILE:CD1	0.43	2.41	4	1
1:B:40:LEU:N	1:B:41:PRO:CD	0.43	2.82	8	1
1:B:8:PHE:CZ	1:B:12:GLN:HA	0.42	2.49	1	1
1:B:7:LEU:HD23	1:B:7:LEU:C	0.42	2.33	9	1
1:A:7:LEU:C	1:A:7:LEU:HD23	0.42	2.33	9	1
1:B:4:ILE:HD12	1:B:4:ILE:N	0.42	2.29	6	1
1:B:3:LEU:O	1:B:3:LEU:HD13	0.41	2.15	1	1
1:A:40:LEU:N	1:A:41:PRO:CD	0.41	2.82	8	1
1:A:35:GLN:O	1:A:36:THR:HB	0.41	2.16	5	1
1:A:6:LEU:HD11	1:B:6:LEU:HD11	0.40	1.94	3	1

Continued from previous page...

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

## 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Pe	erce	entiles
1	А	75/81~(93%)	$66\pm2~(87\pm2\%)$	$6{\pm}1~(8{\pm}2\%)$	$4\pm1~(5\pm1\%)$		4	27
1	В	79/81~(98%)	$70\pm2~(88\pm2\%)$	$6{\pm}1~(8{\pm}2\%)$	$4\pm1~(4\pm1\%)$		4	29
All	All	1540/1620~(95%)	1350~(88%)	120 (8%)	70~(5%)		4	28

All 12 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	В	14	THR	10



Mol	Chain	$\mathbf{Res}$	Type	Models (Total)
1	В	38	ASP	10
1	А	15	ALA	10
1	В	15	ALA	10
1	А	38	ASP	10
1	А	14	THR	10
1	В	31	ALA	3
1	А	31	ALA	3
1	В	37	PRO	1
1	А	36	THR	1
1	А	37	PRO	1
1	В	36	THR	1

Continued from previous page...

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Perce	$\mathbf{ntiles}$
1	А	68/73~(93%)	68±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
1	В	73/73~(100%)	$73\pm0$ (100 $\pm0\%$ )	0±0 (0±0%)	93	98
All	All	1410/1460~(97%)	1409~(100%)	1 (0%)	93	98

All 1 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	В	4	ILE	1

### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

# 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.



# 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

# 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

# 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 22% for the well-defined parts and 22% for the entire structure.

# 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *inCS.star* 

# 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	460
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	460
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

# 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\bf Correction}\pm{\bf precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	81	$-0.31 \pm 0.07$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	78	$-0.21 \pm 0.14$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C'$	77	$0.06 \pm 0.08$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
<sup>15</sup> N	77	$-0.51 \pm 0.24$	Should be applied

## 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 22%, i.e. 436 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2005. 0 out of 33 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	363/777~(47%)	140/310~(45%)	149/314~(47%)	74/153~(48%)
Sidechain	73/1178~(6%)	0/683~(0%)	73/435~(17%)	0/60~(0%)



%)

Commuted from previous page					
	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}$ N	
Aromatic	0/50~(0%)	0/26~(0%)	0/24~(0%)	0/0 (%	
Overall	436/2005 (22%)	140/1019 (14%)	222/773 (29%)	74/213 (35)	

Continued from previous page...

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 22%, i.e. 460 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2066. 0 out of 34 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}$ N
Backbone	382/802~(48%)	147/320~(46%)	158/324~(49%)	77/158~(49%)
Sidechain	78/1214~(6%)	0/704~(0%)	78/450~(17%)	0/60~(0%)
Aromatic	0/50~(0%)	0/26~(0%)	0/24~(0%)	$0/0 \ (-\%)$
Overall	460/2066~(22%)	147/1050~(14%)	236/798~(30%)	77/218~(35%)

## 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

## 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

