

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Nov 8, 2023 – 07:10 AM EST

PDB ID	:	8TNS
BMRB ID	:	52045
Title	:	Solution structure of poly(UG) RNA (GU)12 G-quadruplex
Authors	:	Escobar, C.A.; Petersen, R.; Butcher, S.E.
Deposited on	:	2023-08-02

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.36

RNA backbone

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION \ NMR, \ SOLUTION \ SCATTERING$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 88%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	Percentile	Ranks	Value
Clashscore			1
RNA backbone			0.05
Worse			Better
Percent	ile relative to all structures		
Percent	ile relative to all NMR structures		
Matria	Whole archive	NMR archive	
Metric	$(\# { m Entries})$	$(\# {\rm Entries})$	
Clashscore	158937	12864	

4643

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

676

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain					
1	А	24	33%	67%				



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 767 atoms, of which 254 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a RNA chain called RNA (5'-R(*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*U)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
1	Δ	24	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	Р	0
	A	24	767	228	254	84	178	23	0



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: RNA (5'-R(*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*U)-3')



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: RNA (5'-R(*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*UP*GP*U)-3')





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, torsion angle dynamics.*

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	structure calculation	
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	511
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	511
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	88%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol Chair	Chain	B	Sond lengths	Bond angles		
	RMSZ		$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z>5	
1	А	$0.81 {\pm} 0.01$	$0{\pm}0/572~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	1.00 ± 0.02	$0{\pm}0/892~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	
All	All	0.81	0/11440~(~0.0%)	1.00	3/17840~(~0.0%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	А	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.3 ± 0.5
All	All	0	6

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Dec	Atoma	Observed ⁽⁰⁾	$Ideal(^{o})$	Moo	lels		
NIOI	Unam	nes	Type	Atoms					Ideal()	Worst	Total
1	А	8	U	P-O3'-C3'	7.78	129.04	119.70	1	1		
1	А	20	U	P-O3'-C3'	7.71	128.96	119.70	15	1		
1	А	14	U	P-O3'-C3'	7.44	128.63	119.70	10	1		

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	11	G	Sidechain	5
1	А	17	G	Sidechain	1



6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	513	254	254	1±1
All	All	10260	5080	5080	20

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 1.

5 of 11 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:8:U:O2'	1:A:9:G:OP1	0.65	2.14	1	1	
1:A:20:U:O2'	1:A:21:G:OP1	0.64	2.15	15	1	
1:A:14:U:O2'	1:A:15:G:OP1	0.63	2.16	10	1	
1:A:3:G:O2'	1:A:4:U:P	0.47	2.73	3	4	
1:A:21:G:O2'	1:A:22:U:P	0.47	2.73	2	2	

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.3 RNA (i)

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Backbone Outliers	Pucker Outliers	Suiteness
1	А	23/24~(96%)	$16\pm2~(68\pm7\%)$	$0\pm0~(2\pm2\%)$	$0.05 {\pm} 0.02$
All	All	460/480~(96%)	311 (68%)	7 (2%)	0.05

The overall RNA backbone suiteness is 0.05.

5 of 23 unique RNA backbone outliers are listed below:



Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	3	G	20
1	А	6	U	20
1	А	7	G	20
1	А	9	G	20
1	А	12	U	20

All unique RNA pucker outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	3	G	4
1	А	21	G	2
1	А	8	U	1

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 88% for the well-defined parts and 88% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chemical_shifts_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	507
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	507
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	4

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 88%, i.e. 400 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 456. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Sugar	264/264~(100%)	144/144~(100%)	120/120~(100%)	0/0 (%)
Base	136/192~(71%)	64/108~(59%)	24/36~(67%)	48/48~(100%)
Overall	400/456~(88%)	208/252~(83%)	144/156~(92%)	48/48~(100%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules con-



List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	4	U	H6	6.77	6.77 - 8.70	-5.0
1	А	10	U	H6	6.77	6.77 - 8.70	-5.0
1	А	16	U	H6	6.77	6.77 - 8.70	-5.0
1	А	22	U	H6	6.77	6.77 - 8.70	-5.0

taining paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chemical_shifts_2

7.2.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	4
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	4
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 1%, i.e. 4 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 444. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Sugar	2/264~(1%)	1/144 (1%)	1/120 (1%)	0/0 (%)
Base	2/180~(1%)	1/108~(1%)	1/36~(3%)	0/36~(0%)
Overall	4/444 (1%)	2/252~(1%)	2/156~(1%)	0/36~(0%)

7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins



8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	621
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	235
Sequential (i-j =1)	93
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	126
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	95
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	72
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	141
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	31.8
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	7.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	47.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	36.7	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	0.5	0.63



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	Max ($^{\circ}$)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	46.4	5.05
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)


9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints type	Count	$\%^1$	Vi	olated	3	Consis	tently	$Violated^4$
Restraints type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	235	37.8	31	13.2	5.0	8	3.4	1.3
Backbone-Backbone	104	16.7	7	6.7	1.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	124	20.0	24	19.4	3.9	8	6.5	1.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	7	1.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	93	15.0	24	25.8	3.9	4	4.3	0.6
Backbone-Backbone	42	6.8	10	23.8	1.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	42	6.8	7	16.7	1.1	3	7.1	0.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	9	1.4	7	77.8	1.1	1	11.1	0.2
Medium range ($ i-j > 1 \& i-j < 5$)	126	20.3	43	34.1	6.9	12	9.5	1.9
Backbone-Backbone	35	5.6	11	31.4	1.8	4	11.4	0.6
Backbone-Sidechain	64	10.3	27	42.2	4.3	5	7.8	0.8
Sidechain-Sidechain	27	4.3	5	18.5	0.8	3	11.1	0.5
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	95	15.3	18	18.9	2.9	1	1.1	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	21	3.4	8	38.1	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	40	6.4	10	25.0	1.6	1	2.5	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	34	5.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	72	11.6	41	56.9	6.6	11	15.3	1.8
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	621	100.0	157	25.3	25.3	36	5.8	5.8
Backbone-Backbone	202	32.5	36	17.8	5.8	4	2.0	0.6
Backbone-Sidechain	270	43.5	68	25.2	11.0	17	6.3	2.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	149	24.0	53	35.6	8.5	15	10.1	2.4

 1 percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models





9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Morr (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	IR^{1}	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (Å)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
1	22	16	29	22	0	89	0.21	0.52	0.09	0.19
2	21	13	26	22	0	82	0.2	0.46	0.09	0.18
3	18	16	28	21	0	83	0.21	0.47	0.09	0.19
4	20	14	34	27	0	95	0.21	0.54	0.09	0.2
5	22	10	30	24	0	86	0.21	0.49	0.1	0.18
6	18	16	31	25	0	90	0.2	0.45	0.09	0.18
7	20	18	27	21	0	86	0.21	0.47	0.09	0.18
8	18	17	31	19	0	85	0.22	0.46	0.1	0.19
9	14	20	30	25	0	89	0.21	0.5	0.09	0.17
10	19	16	26	23	0	84	0.21	0.48	0.09	0.16
11	21	15	29	26	0	91	0.21	0.5	0.1	0.18


Continued on next page...




Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Mor (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	IR^{1}	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (Å)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (Å)
12	19	12	31	25	0	87	0.21	0.48	0.09	0.19
13	20	17	34	26	0	97	0.21	0.52	0.09	0.18
14	19	16	31	23	0	89	0.21	0.5	0.11	0.17
15	17	19	28	23	0	87	0.21	0.48	0.09	0.19
16	14	20	34	20	0	88	0.21	0.47	0.1	0.18
17	16	21	35	23	0	95	0.21	0.63	0.1	0.18
18	16	20	32	24	0	92	0.2	0.54	0.1	0.16
19	20	20	29	25	0	94	0.21	0.55	0.1	0.19
20	20	9	31	23	0	83	0.22	0.58	0.1	0.18

Continued from previous page...

 1 Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right




9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 433(IR:204, SQ:69, MR:83, LR:77, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC ⁵	Total	Count^6	%
2	4	4	0	0	10	1	5.0
1	5	3	2	0	11	2	10.0
1	1	0	0	0	2	3	15.0
0	0	1	1	0	2	4	20.0
0	1	3	3	0	7	5	25.0
3	0	0	1	0	4	6	30.0
3	1	1	1	0	6	7	35.0
1	0	1	3	0	5	8	40.0
1	0	1	2	0	4	9	45.0
2	1	2	1	0	6	10	50.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	11	55.0
2	0	1	0	0	3	12	60.0
2	0	2	0	0	4	13	65.0
1	1	1	1	0	4	14	70.0
1	0	1	0	0	2	15	75.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	16	80.0
2	0	3	0	0	5	17	85.0
1	2	1	1	0	5	18	90.0
0	4	4	1	0	9	19	95.0
8	4	12	1	0	25	20	100.0

 1 Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations






9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,177)	1:15:A:G:H1'	1:17:A:G:H8	20	0.46	0.03	0.46
(1,450)	1:6:A:U:H6	1:9:A:G:H8	20	0.38	0.03	0.38
(2,64)	1:21:A:G:O6	1:15:A:G:O6	20	0.37	0.02	0.37
(1,452)	1:18:A:U:H6	1:21:A:G:H8	20	0.36	0.05	0.36
(1,451)	1:12:A:U:H6	1:15:A:G:H8	20	0.35	0.03	0.35
(2,57)	1:1:A:G:O6	1:19:A:G:O6	20	0.34	0.03	0.34
(1,21)	1:7:A:G:H8	1:8:A:U:H1'	20	0.34	0.06	0.3
(1,440)	1:9:A:G:H4'	1:11:A:G:H8	20	0.34	0.03	0.34
(2,60)	1:19:A:G:O6	1:13:A:G:O6	20	0.33	0.02	0.33
(2,58)	1:7:A:G:O6	1:1:A:G:O6	20	0.32	0.02	0.32


 $^1\mathrm{Number}$ of violated models, $^2\mathrm{Standard}$ deviation



9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,437)	1:7:A:G:H5"	1:5:A:G:H8	17	0.63
(1,144)	1:3:A:G:H1'	1:5:A:G:H8	20	0.58
(1,437)	1:7:A:G:H5"	1:5:A:G:H8	19	0.55
(1,178)	1:21:A:G:H1'	1:23:A:G:H8	4	0.54
(1,178)	1:21:A:G:H1'	1:23:A:G:H8	18	0.54
(1,177)	1:15:A:G:H1'	1:17:A:G:H8	4	0.54
(1,178)	1:21:A:G:H1'	1:23:A:G:H8	1	0.52
(1,178)	1:21:A:G:H1'	1:23:A:G:H8	13	0.52
(1,178)	1:21:A:G:H1'	1:23:A:G:H8	17	0.51
(1,178)	1:21:A:G:H1'	1:23:A:G:H8	19	0.51




10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	$\%^1$	Vi	olated	3	Consis	tently	\mathbf{V} iolated ⁴
Angle type	Count	/0	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
NU2	24	17.0	16	66.7	11.3	5	20.8	3.5
CHI	21	14.9	8	38.1	5.7	0	0.0	0.0
NU1	24	17.0	14	58.3	9.9	5	20.8	3.5
NU4	24	17.0	16	66.7	11.3	0	0.0	0.0
NU3	24	17.0	16	66.7	11.3	1	4.2	0.7
NU0	24	17.0	18	75.0	12.8	0	0.0	0.0
Total	141	100.0	88	62.4	62.4	11	7.8	7.8

 1 percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models





Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their




respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Ν	lumbe	er of v	iolatio	ns		Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (
Model ID	NU2	CHI	NU1	NU4	NU3	NU0	Total	Mean ()	Max ()	SD ()	Median (
1	12	1	12	8	9	6	48	2.38	4.66	1.05	2.2
2	11	2	10	5	8	6	42	2.35	4.89	0.97	2.28
3	13	3	10	6	8	6	46	2.51	4.88	1.09	2.55
4	12	4	10	8	9	6	49	2.33	4.77	1.04	2.02
5	13	3	11	3	9	9	48	2.12	5.05	1.0	1.65
6	12	1	11	6	7	11	48	2.46	4.79	0.97	2.25
7	10	2	8	8	11	8	47	2.23	4.57	0.9	2.01
8	13	3	8	6	10	5	45	2.32	4.79	0.93	2.27
9	12	2	12	3	7	7	43	2.37	4.87	0.98	2.26
10	10	4	10	5	8	5	42	2.46	4.56	0.99	2.3
11	12	2	10	6	9	8	47	2.33	4.78	0.93	2.0
12	14	4	10	6	11	7	52	2.14	4.52	0.91	1.72
13	13	2	12	3	6	6	42	2.37	4.56	0.97	2.19
14	12	2	11	4	7	8	44	2.29	4.72	1.01	1.96
15	11	2	8	9	11	8	49	2.39	4.63	0.95	2.34
16	13	3	9	7	10	11	53	2.3	4.86	0.95	2.1
17	11	5	12	5	8	8	49	2.25	4.73	0.87	2.06
18	10	3	9	7	10	10	49	2.2	4.68	0.98	1.82
19	12	1	9	6	9	7	44	2.48	4.61	0.89	2.51
20	10	2	9	7	8	7	43	2.19	4.5	0.9	1.91





10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)

The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

	Num	ber of	violat	ed res	traints	5	Fractio	n of the ensemble
NU2	CHI	NU1	NU4	NU3	NU0	Total	Count^1	%
1	3	1	3	3	3	14	1	5.0
1	2	1	1	0	2	7	2	10.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	2	3	15.0
0	0	0	2	0	0	2	4	20.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	2	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	1	2	3	6	30.0
0	0	0	1	1	0	2	7	35.0
0	0	1	1	0	0	2	8	40.0
2	0	0	1	1	0	4	9	45.0
0	0	2	0	1	1	4	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	55.0


Continued on next page...




Conti	Continuea from previous page												
	Num	ber of	violat	ed res	traints	5	Fractio	n of the ensemble					
NU2	CHI	NU1	NU4	NU3	NU0	Total	Count^1	%					
1	0	0	1	1	3	6	12	60.0					
1	1	0	1	1	0	4	13	65.0					
0	1	0	1	1	0	3	14	70.0					
1	0	1	1	2	1	6	15	75.0					
0	0	0	0	2	1	3	16	80.0					
0	1	1	0	0	2	4	17	85.0					
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	90.0					
3	0	2	1	1	0	7	19	95.0					
5	0	5	0	1	0	11	20	100.0					

Continued from previous page...

 1 Number of models with violations






10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,62)	1:6:A:U:O4'	1:6:A:U:C1'	1:6:A:U:C2'	1:6:A:U:C3'	20	4.47	0.44	4.57
(1,72)	1:18:A:U:O4'	1:18:A:U:C1'	1:18:A:U:C2'	1:18:A:U:C3'	20	4.3	0.42	4.4
(1,67)	1:12:A:U:O4'	1:12:A:U:C1'	1:12:A:U:C2'	1:12:A:U:C3'	20	3.95	0.63	3.98
(1,63)	1:6:A:U:C1'	1:6:A:U:C2'	1:6:A:U:C3'	1:6:A:U:C4'	20	3.36	0.77	3.3
(1,7)	1:7:A:G:O4'	1:7:A:G:C1'	1:7:A:G:C2'	1:7:A:G:C3'	20	3.1	0.45	3.08
(1,12)	1:13:A:G:O4'	1:13:A:G:C1'	1:13:A:G:C2'	1:13:A:G:C3'	20	3.08	0.43	3.14
(1,68)	1:12:A:U:C1'	1:12:A:U:C2'	1:12:A:U:C3'	1:12:A:U:C4'	20	2.85	0.7	3.07
(1,119)	1:22:A:U:C2'	1:22:A:U:C3'	1:22:A:U:C4'	1:22:A:U:O4'	20	2.77	0.58	2.86
(1,88)	1:9:A:G:C1'	1:9:A:G:C2'	1:9:A:G:C3'	1:9:A:G:C4'	20	2.65	0.29	2.74
(1,18)	1:19:A:G:C1'	1:19:A:G:C2'	1:19:A:G:C3'	1:19:A:G:C4'	20	1.98	0.72	1.7


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation ($^{\circ}$)
(1,62)	1:6:A:U:O4'	1:6:A:U:C1'	1:6:A:U:C2'	1:6:A:U:C3'	5	5.05
(1,72)	1:18:A:U:O4'	1:18:A:U:C1'	1:18:A:U:C2'	1:18:A:U:C3'	2	4.89
(1,63)	1:6:A:U:C1'	1:6:A:U:C2'	1:6:A:U:C3'	1:6:A:U:C4'	3	4.88
(1,72)	1:18:A:U:O4'	1:18:A:U:C1'	1:18:A:U:C2'	1:18:A:U:C3'	9	4.87
(1,67)	1:12:A:U:O4'	1:12:A:U:C1'	1:12:A:U:C2'	1:12:A:U:C3'	3	4.86
(1,67)	1:12:A:U:O4'	1:12:A:U:C1'	1:12:A:U:C2'	1:12:A:U:C3'	16	4.86
(1,72)	1:18:A:U:O4'	1:18:A:U:C1'	1:18:A:U:C2'	1:18:A:U:C3'	16	4.84
(1,62)	1:6:A:U:O4'	1:6:A:U:C1'	1:6:A:U:C2'	1:6:A:U:C3'	3	4.84
(1,62)	1:6:A:U:O4'	1:6:A:U:C1'	1:6:A:U:C2'	1:6:A:U:C3'	6	4.79
(1,62)	1:6:A:U:O4'	1:6:A:U:C1'	1:6:A:U:C2'	1:6:A:U:C3'	8	4.79

