

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jun 6, 2023 – 07:13 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2N9Q
BMRB ID	:	25915
Title	:	Photoswitchable G-quadruplex
Authors	:	Thevarpadam, J.; Bessi, I.; Binas, O.; Goncalves, D.P.N.; Slavov, C.; Jonker,
		H.R.A.; Richter, C.; Wachtveitl, J.; Schwalbe, H.; Heckel, A.
Deposited on	:	2015-12-02

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber $(2001)$
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

Clashscore

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 18%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metri	c	Percent	ile Ranks	Value
Clashscore	e 📃			0
	Worse	2		Better
	Perc	centile relative to all structures		
	Perc	centile relative to all NMR structures		
ЪЛани		Whole archive	NMR archive	
Metr	IC	(# Entries)	(# Entries)	

158937

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

12864

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	А	5	100%
1	В	5	100%
1	С	5	100%
1	D	5	100%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.



#### 2N9Q

# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 659 atoms, of which 232 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a DNA chain called DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*(AZW)P\*GP\*G)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms						
1	٨	5	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	Р	0	
	1 A	5	164	54	58	22	26	4	0	
1	В	5	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	Р	0	
	D	5	164	54	58	22	26	4	U	
1	С	5	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	Р	0	
	C	5	164	54	58	22	26	4	0	
1	Л	5	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	Р	0	
1	D	5	164	54	58	22	26	4	0	

• Molecule 2 is POTASSIUM ION (three-letter code: K) (formula: K).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms
2	А	2	Total K 2 2
2	С	1	Total K 1 1



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

# 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*(AZW)P\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A: 100%									
There are no outlier residues in this chain.									
• Molecule 1: DNA $(5'-D(*GP*GP*(AZW)P*GP*G)-3')$									
Chain B: 100%									
There are no outlier residues in this chain.									
Molecule 1: DNA $(5'-D(*GP*GP*(AZW)P*GP*G)-3')$									
Chain C: 100%									
There are no outlier residues in this chain.									
• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*GP*GP*(AZW)P*GP*G)-3')									
Chain D: 100%									

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*(AZW)P\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*(AZW)P\*GP\*G)-3')



Chain B:	100%								
There are no outlier residues in this chain.									
• Molecule 1: DNA $(5'-D(*GP*GP*(AZW)P*GP*G)-3')$									
Chain C:	100%								
There are no outlier	r residues in this chain.								
• Molecule 1: DNA	• Molecule 1: DNA $(5'-D(*GP*GP*(AZW)P*GP*G)-3')$								
Chain D:	100%								
There are no outlier	residues in this chain.								



# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	structure solution	1.1
ARIA	structure solution	1.2
ARIA	refinement	1.2

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	82
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	82
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	18%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: K, AZW

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	В	ond lengths	Bond angles		
		RMSZ	$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z>5	
1	А	$0.31 {\pm} 0.03$	$0{\pm}0/95$ ( $0.0{\pm}$ $0.0\%)$	$0.96 {\pm} 0.08$	$0\pm 0/144~(~0.0\pm~0.0\%)$	
1	В	$0.30 {\pm} 0.02$	$0{\pm}0/95$ ( $0.0{\pm}$ $0.0\%)$	$0.94{\pm}0.05$	$0\pm 0/144~(~0.0\pm~0.2\%)$	
1	С	$0.31 {\pm} 0.02$	$0{\pm}0/95~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$0.94{\pm}0.05$	$0\pm 0/144~(~0.0\pm~0.2\%)$	
1	D	$0.30 {\pm} 0.02$	$0{\pm}0/95$ ( $0.0{\pm}$ $0.0\%)$	$0.94{\pm}0.07$	$0\pm 0/144~(~0.1\pm~0.2\%)$	
All	All	0.30	0/7600 ( $0.0%$ )	0.94	4/11520 ( $0.0%$ )	

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol Chain		Chain Bog Turne	Atoma 7	7	Z $Observed(^{o})$		Models		
NIOI	Chain	$\operatorname{Res}$	Type	Atoms		Observed(*)	$\operatorname{Ideal}(^{o})$	Worst	Total
1	D	5	DG	O4'-C4'-C3'	-5.58	102.27	104.50	13	2
1	С	5	DG	O4'-C4'-C3'	-5.32	102.37	104.50	15	1
1	В	5	DG	O4'-C4'-C3'	-5.29	102.38	104.50	2	1

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	8540	4640	4720	-



The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

#### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

#### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

#### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

#### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 3 ligands modelled in this entry, 3 are monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

#### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

#### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 18% for the well-defined parts and 18% for the entire structure.

# 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	82
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	82
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 18%, i.e. 60 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 336. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Sugar	48/192~(25%)	28/112~(25%)	20/80~(25%)	$0/0 \ (\%)$
Base	12/144~(8%)	8/96~(8%)	4/16~(25%)	0/32~(0%)
Overall	60/336~(18%)	36/208~(17%)	24/96~(25%)	0/32~(0%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules con-



taining paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, $ppm$	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	В	4	DG	C2'	32.64	32.65 - 47.92	-5.0

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index (RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins



# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	273
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	106
Sequential ( i-j =1)	56
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	39
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	6
Inter-chain	66
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	33
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	15.3
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	0.3

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

# 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	1.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	3.4	0.49
>0.5 (Large)	10.2	3.82



#### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	Max ( $^{\circ}$ )
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.1	1.6
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints type	Count	$\%^1$	Vie	lated	3	Consis	tently	Violated <sup>4</sup>
Restraints type	Count	701	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	106	38.8	7	6.6	2.6	3	2.8	1.1
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	106	38.8	7	6.6	2.6	3	2.8	1.1
Sequential ( i-j =1)	56	20.5	16	28.6	5.9	6	10.7	2.2
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	56	20.5	16	28.6	5.9	6	10.7	2.2
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	39	14.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	39	14.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	6	2.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	2.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	66	24.2	1	1.5	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	66	24.2	1	1.5	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	273	100.0	24	8.8	8.8	9	3.3	3.3
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	273	100.0	24	8.8	8.8	9	3.3	3.3

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





#### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

#### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Maan (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (A)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (Å)
1	4	9	0	0	0	13	0.97	2.66	0.75	0.63
2	3	10	0	0	0	13	1.22	2.88	0.88	0.87
3	5	12	0	0	0	17	0.97	2.61	0.72	0.79
4	5	12	0	0	0	17	0.96	2.55	0.73	0.73
5	5	11	0	0	0	16	0.97	3.01	0.8	0.76
6	3	12	0	0	0	15	1.03	2.57	0.72	0.65
7	3	10	0	0	0	13	1.2	2.67	0.79	1.29
8	3	10	0	0	0	13	1.1	2.89	0.82	0.79
9	5	10	0	0	0	15	0.96	2.41	0.68	1.05
10	5	8	0	0	0	13	1.08	2.26	0.74	0.99
11	3	9	0	0	0	12	1.07	2.45	0.71	0.92

Continued on next page...




Madal ID	_	Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)		$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total	Mean (A)	Max (Å)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (A)
12	5	12	0	0	0	17	1.14	3.08	0.8	0.96
13	5	12	0	0	0	17	1.17	2.93	0.8	1.0
14	3	12	0	0	0	15	1.26	3.08	0.88	0.98
15	3	11	0	0	0	14	1.28	2.81	0.84	1.17
16	3	11	0	0	0	14	1.36	3.82	1.04	1.21
17	3	12	0	0	0	15	1.1	2.61	0.74	0.79
18	3	11	0	0	0	14	1.03	2.7	0.76	0.7
19	5	13	0	0	1	19	0.99	3.43	0.86	0.76
20	3	7	0	0	0	10	0.98	2.25	0.66	0.86

Continued from previous page...

 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right




### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 249(IR:99, SQ:40, MR:39, LR:6, IC:65) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	Fractio	n of the ensemble		
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%
2	1	0	0	1	4	1	5.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	2	0	0	0	2	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
1	2	0	0	0	3	7	35.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	2	0	0	0	2	18	90.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	19	95.0
3	6	0	0	0	9	20	100.0

 $^{1}$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^{2}$ Sequential restraints,  $^{3}$ Medium range restraints,  $^{4}$ Long range restraints,  $^{5}$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^{6}$  Number of models with violations






#### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	20	2.78	0.37	2.68
(1,114)	1:A:2:DG:H8	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	20	2.26	0.36	2.35
(1,180)	1:A:4:DG:H1	1:A:3:AZW:H3B	20	1.89	0.27	1.95
(1,180)	1:A:4:DG:H1	1:B:3:AZW:H3B	20	1.89	0.27	1.95
(1,109)	1:A:2:DG:H2'	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	20	1.55	0.3	1.61
(1,115)	1:A:3:AZW:H2B	1:A:4:DG:H1	20	1.47	0.4	1.42
(1,178)	1:A:3:AZW:H3B	1:A:4:DG:H1	20	0.97	0.32	1.0
(1,178)	1:A:3:AZW:H3B	1:B:4:DG:H1	20	0.97	0.32	1.0
(1,49)	1:A:3:AZW:H3"	1:A:3:AZW:H2B	20	0.7	0.08	0.73
(1,52)	1:A:3:AZW:H3A	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	20	0.29	0.01	0.29
(1,46)	1:A:3:AZW:H2B	1:A:3:AZW:H3B	20	0.28	0.0	0.28
(1,106)	1:A:2:DG:H1'	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	19	0.8	0.4	0.79


 $^1\mathrm{Number}$  of violated models,  $^2\mathrm{Standard}$  deviation



### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	16	3.82
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	19	3.43
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	12	3.08
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	14	3.08
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	5	3.01
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	13	2.93
(1,114)	1:A:2:DG:H8	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	14	2.92
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	8	2.89
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	2	2.88
(1,110)	1:A:2:DG:H2"	1:A:3:AZW:H2A	15	2.81



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


# 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

	Count	$\%^1$	Vic	lated	3	Consis	stentl	y Violated <sup>4</sup>
Angle type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^{2}$	$\%^1$
GAMMA	2	6.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
BETA	3	9.1	1	33.3	3.0	0	0.0	0.0
ZETA	2	6.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU2	3	9.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
DELTA	3	9.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU1	3	9.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
ALPHA	2	6.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
EPSILON	2	6.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU3	3	9.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU0	3	9.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU4	3	9.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI	4	12.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	33	100.0	1	3.0	3.0	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





#### 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories


# 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the statistics.

	Number of violations														
Model ID	GAMMA	BETA	ZETA	NU2	DELTA	NU1	ALPHA	EPSILON	NU3	NU0	NU4	CHI	Total	Mean (°)	Max (°)
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.2	1.2
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.6	1.6
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0



#### 10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

### 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

				Numb	er of v	violated re	estraints						Fraction
GAMMA	BETA	ZETA	NU2	DELTA	NU1	ALPHA	EPSILON	NU3	NU0	NU4	CHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15




	Number of violated restraints													
GAMM	A BET.	A	ZETA	NU2	DELTA	NU1	ALPHA	EPSILON	NU3	NU0	NU4	CHI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$
0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20

Continued from previous page...

 $^{1}$  Number of models with violations

#### 10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




#### 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{SD}^2$	Median
(1,2)	1:A:4:DG:P	1:A:4:DG:O5'	1:A:4:DG:C5'	1:A:4:DG:C4'	2	1.4	0.2	1.4

<sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

### 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

#### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

Data insufficient to plot histogram

#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation ( $^{\circ}$ )
(1,2)	1:A:4:DG:P	1:A:4:DG:O5'	1:A:4:DG:C5'	1:A:4:DG:C4'	12	1.6
(1,2)	1:A:4:DG:P	1:A:4:DG:O5'	1:A:4:DG:C5'	1:A:4:DG:C4'	1	1.2

