

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Dec 25, 2024 – 01:16 AM EST

PDB ID : 2N6Z BMRB ID : 25787

Title: Solution structure of the salicylate-loaded ArCP from yersiniabactin syn-

thetase

Authors: Goodrich, A.C.; Harden, B.J.; Frueh, D.P.

Deposited on : 2015-08-31

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Mogul : 2022.3.0, CSD as543be (2022)

buster-report : 1.1.7 (2018)

Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.40

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 82%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$egin{array}{c} { m NMR \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$		
Clashscore	210492	14027		
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486		
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463		

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain					
1	A	82	63%	23%	11%	•		



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 10 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model			
1 A:18-A:88 (71)		0.86	10			

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19
2	3, 6
Single-model clusters	17; 20



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1402 atoms, of which 694 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1 is a protein called HMWP2 nonribosomal peptide synthetase.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms					Trace
1	Λ	90	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
	A	80	1347	426	669	129	121	2	U

There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled Actu		Comment	Reference	
A	12	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q7CI41	
A	13	THR	-	expression tag	UNP Q7CI41	

• Molecule 2 is S-{2-[(N-{(2R)-2-hydroxy-3,3-dimethyl-4-[(trihydroxy-lambda 5 -phosphan yl)oxy]butanoyl}-beta-alanyl)amino]ethyl} 2-hydroxybenzene-1-carbothioate (three-letter code: A1A7S) (formula:  $C_{18}H_{27}N_2O_9PS$ ).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						
9	Λ	1	Total	С	Н	Ν	О	Р	S
2	А	1	55	18	25	2	8	1	1




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: HMWP2 nonribosomal peptide synthetase



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 10. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: HMWP2 nonribosomal peptide synthetase





#### Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: target function.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	2.1
CNS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1004
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	965
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	39
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	82%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: A1A7S

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles		
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5	
1	A	$0.84 \pm 0.03$	$0\pm0/615~(~0.0\pm~0.0\%)$	$0.80 \pm 0.03$	$0\pm0/835~(~0.0\pm~0.0\%)$	
All	All	0.84	0/12300 ( 0.0%)	0.80	2/16700 ( 0.0%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.5 \pm 0.7$
All	All	0	10

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Pos	Type Atoms Z Observed $(^{\circ})$ Ideal $(^{\circ})$		$f e egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		$Ideal(^{o})$	Mod	dels
IVIOI	Chain	nes	es Type	Atoms		Observed()	ideai( )	Worst	Total
1	A	54	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-5.35	117.63	120.30	20	1
1	A	72	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-5.21	117.69	120.30	14	1

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	52	SER	Mainchain	5
1	A	25	ARG	Sidechain	2
1	A	27	ARG	Sidechain	2
1	A	57	ARG	Sidechain	1



### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	$Non-H \mid H(model) \mid I$		Clashes	
1	A	601	603	603	12±3	
2	A	30	25	0	0±0	
All	All	12620	12560	12060	235	

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 10.

5 of 99 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Mod	dels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:83:TRP:O	1:A:87:MET:HG2	0.64	1.93	19	3
1:A:59:LEU:HG	1:A:71:LEU:CD1	0.63	2.24	19	7
1:A:40:HIS:HB3	1:A:43:SER:HB2	0.63	1.71	2	12
1:A:28:LEU:O	1:A:32:LEU:HG	0.61	1.95	19	17
1:A:62:PHE:HB2	1:A:67:TYR:CD1	0.61	2.31	10	3

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

N	<b>Iol</b>	Chain	Chain Analysed Favoured Allowed		Outliers	Percentiles		
	1	A	71/82 (87%)	66±2 (92±2%)	5±2 (7±2%)	0±0 (0±1%)	27	74
A	All	All	1420/1640 (87%)	1312 (92%)	101 (7%)	7 (0%)	27	74

All 3 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	18	ALA	5
1	A	44	ASN	1



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	$\operatorname{Res}$	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	51	ASP	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Rotameric C		Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	62/72~(86%)	55±2 (88±3%)	7±2 (12±3%)	7	50	
All	All	1240/1440 (86%)	1097 (88%)	143 (12%)	7	50	

5 of 31 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)	
1	A	53	ILE	20	
1	A	42	GLU	16	
1	A	62	PHE	16	
1	A	56	MET	14	
1	A	26	GLU	6	

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

# 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

# 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

# 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

1 ligand is modelled in this entry.



In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mol	Tuno	Chain	Dec	Res Link		Bond leng	${ m gths}$
Mol	туре	Chain	nes		Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	A1A7S	A	94	1	25,30,31	$0.88 \pm 0.15$	1±1 (3±4%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Type	pe Chain	Res	Link	Bond angles			
					Counts	RMSZ	$\#Z{>}2$	
2	A1A7S	A	94	1	32,40,43	$1.15\pm0.19$	4±1 (11±4%)	

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions		Rings	
2	A1A7S	A	94	1	-	$5\pm0$	31,33,34	0±0,1,1,1	

5 of 7 unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Pec	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	$Ideal(\mathring{A})$	Models	
IVIOI	Chain	nes	туре	Atoms		Observed(A)	Ideal(A)	Worst	Total
2	A	94	A1A7S	CX-CV	3.27	1.54	1.49	4	5
2	A	94	A1A7S	CJ-CK	2.94	1.57	1.52	1	8
2	A	94	A1A7S	OW-CV	2.29	1.26	1.21	1	1
2	A	94	A1A7S	CY2-CX	2.20	1.43	1.39	2	1
2	A	94	A1A7S	CX-CY1	2.14	1.44	1.40	19	1

5 of 11 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.



Mol	Chain	Res	Tuno	Atoma	7	$Observed(^{o})$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Models	
MIOI	Chain	nes	Type	Atoms	L	Observed(')	Ideal(*)	Worst	Total
2	A	94	A1A7S	CT-SU-CV	4.97	105.70	99.85	4	8
2	A	94	A1A7S	CL1-CK-CL3	3.45	114.65	108.77	9	17
2	A	94	A1A7S	CY1-CX-CV	3.24	125.42	120.94	19	1
2	A	94	A1A7S	CL3-CM-NN	3.10	122.36	116.48	4	12
2	A	94	A1A7S	CO-NN-CM	2.72	127.44	122.55	5	8

There are no chirality outliers.


All unique torsion outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Models (Total)
2	A	94	A1A7S	CL3-CM-NN-CO	20
2	A	94	A1A7S	CK-CL3-CM-ON	9
2	A	94	A1A7S	CK-CL3-CM-NN	7
2	A	94	A1A7S	CX-CV-SU-CT	7
2	A	94	A1A7S	OW-CV-SU-CT	7

There are no ring outliers.

The following is a two-dimensional graphical depiction of Mogul quality analysis of bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles, and ring geometry for all instances of the Ligand of Interest. In addition, ligands with molecular weight > 250 and outliers as shown on the validation Tables will also be included. For torsion angles, if less then 5% of the Mogul distribution of torsion angles is within 10 degrees of the torsion angle in question, then that torsion angle is considered an outlier. Any bond that is central to one or more torsion angles identified as an outlier by Mogul will be highlighted in the graph. For rings, the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) between the ring in question and similar rings identified by Mogul is calculated over all ring torsion angles. If the average RMSD is greater than 60 degrees and the minimal RMSD between the ring in question and any Mogul-identified rings is also greater than 60 degrees, then that ring is considered an outlier. The outliers are highlighted in purple. The color gray indicates Mogul did not find sufficient equivalents in the CSD to analyse the geometry.





# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

# 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 82% for the well-defined parts and 81% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1004
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	965
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	39
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	3

The following errors were found when reading this chemical shift list.

• Chemical shift has been reported more than once. All 2 occurrences are reported below.

I ist ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data Value   Uncertainty   Ambiguity		
LIST ID					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	52	4HJ	С	37.959	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	HL3	3.911	•	1

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 39) occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Trme	Atom	Shift Data			
LIST ID		nes	Type		Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity	
1	A	52	4HJ	С	176.643	•	1	
1	A	52	4HJ	CA	60.898	•	1	
1	A	52	4HJ	CAA	139.025		1	
1	A	52	4HJ	CB	67.836	•	1	
1	A	52	4HJ	CJ	73.754	•	1	
1	A	52	4HJ	CL1	23.086	•	2	



Continued from previous page...

Tillo				Shift Data			
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	
1	A	52	4HJ	CL2	21.835		2
1	A	52	4HJ	CL3	77.045		1
1	A	52	4HJ	CM	177.338		1
1	A	52	4HJ	CP	37.961	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	CX	176.71	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	CS	40.999	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	СТ	31.029	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	CY2	131.827	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	CZ1	120.156	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	CZ2	122.547	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	Н	8.968	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	HA	4.001	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	HAA	7.522		1
1	A	52	4HJ	HB2	4.264	•	2
1	A	52	4HJ	HB3	4.224	•	2
1	A	52	4HJ	HJ2	3.25		2
1	A	52	4HJ	HJ3	3.662	•	2
1	A	52	4HJ	HL3	0.814		4
1	A	52	4HJ	HL22	0.768		2
1	A	52	4HJ	HL21	0.768	•	2
1	A	52	4HJ	HL23	0.768	•	2
1	A	52	4HJ	HO2	3.442	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	HP2	2.447	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	HR	8.221	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	HS2	3.371	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	HT2	3.042	•	2
1	A	52	4HJ	HT3	2.969		2
1	A	52	4HJ	HY2	7.722	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	HZ1	6.898		1
1	A	52	4HJ	HZ2	6.912		1
1	A	52	4HJ	N	113.882	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	NN	119.485	•	1
1	A	52	4HJ	NR	123.07		1

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	78	$-0.25 \pm 0.19$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$	76	$0.25 \pm 0.18$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)



Continued from previous page...

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
<sup>13</sup> C'	49	$-0.33 \pm 0.22$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{15}N$	75	$0.42 \pm 0.49$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 82%, i.e. 877 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1071. 0 out of 15 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	316/353~(90%)	$137/142 \ (96\%)$	112/142 (79%)	$67/69 \ (97\%)$
Sidechain	491/631 (78%)	339/410 (83%)	152/188 (81%)	0/33 (0%)
Aromatic	70/87 (80%)	35/43 (81%)	32/39 (82%)	3/5 (60%)
Overall	877/1071 (82%)	511/595 (86%)	$296/369 \ (80\%)$	70/107 (65%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.


List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	74	LEU	HD11	-0.80	-0.61 - 2.12	-5.7
1	A	74	LEU	HD12	-0.80	-0.61 - 2.12	-5.7
1	A	74	LEU	HD13	-0.80	-0.61 - 2.12	-5.7

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:







# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1244
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	411
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	317
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	296
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	220
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	139
Number of unmapped restraints	45
Number of restraints per residue	16.9
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	2.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

# 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	19.7	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	6.0	0.42
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

$\mathbf{Bins}\;(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	$\mathbf{Max}$ (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	9.8	6.49
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Dostusints tons	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vic	lated <sup>3</sup>	3	Consis	tentl	${f y}$ Violated $^4$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$ \%^1$	Count	$ \%^2 $	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	411	33.0	31	7.5	2.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	322	25.9	31	9.6	2.5	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	89	7.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	317	25.5	21	6.6	1.7	1	0.3	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	80	6.4	2	2.5	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	214	17.2	11	5.1	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	23	1.8	8	34.8	0.6	1	4.3	0.1
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	296	23.8	30	10.1	2.4	1	0.3	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	93	7.5	2	2.2	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	145	11.7	15	10.3	1.2	1	0.7	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	58	4.7	13	22.4	1.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	220	17.7	26	11.8	2.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	85	6.8	15	17.6	1.2	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	134	10.8	11	8.2	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1244	100.0	108	8.7	8.7	2	0.2	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	174	14.0	4	2.3	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	766	61.6	72	9.4	5.8	1	0.1	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	304	24.4	32	10.5	2.6	1	0.3	0.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
1	10	6	7	5	0	28	0.17	0.4	0.06	0.14
2	7	7	11	2	0	27	0.16	0.26	0.05	0.14
3	11	6	8	7	0	32	0.16	0.28	0.06	0.14
4	7	4	7	4	0	22	0.16	0.28	0.05	0.14
5	7	8	8	5	0	28	0.15	0.26	0.04	0.14
6	8	5	9	10	0	32	0.16	0.27	0.05	0.14
7	7	5	11	8	0	31	0.16	0.35	0.06	0.13
8	6	10	9	4	0	29	0.16	0.39	0.06	0.14
9	7	4	8	3	0	22	0.17	0.3	0.05	0.16
10	7	6	9	4	0	26	0.16	0.28	0.05	0.15




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	${ m SD}^6$ (Å)	Modion (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (Å)
11	5	5	8	4	0	22	0.19	0.35	0.07	0.18
12	7	4	9	4	0	24	0.17	0.26	0.05	0.17
13	10	6	7	3	0	26	0.17	0.4	0.07	0.15
14	8	6	9	4	0	27	0.16	0.42	0.07	0.13
15	5	5	11	6	0	27	0.16	0.27	0.05	0.16
16	8	5	12	4	0	29	0.17	0.4	0.08	0.14
17	9	9	10	6	0	34	0.16	0.3	0.05	0.15
18	8	6	12	4	0	30	0.16	0.36	0.06	0.14
19	10	7	13	3	0	33	0.16	0.39	0.06	0.14
20	7	7	9	3	0	26	0.17	0.39	0.07	0.15

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1136(IR:380, SQ:296, MR:266, LR:194, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
7	5	10	8	0	30	1	5.0
6	2	3	5	0	16	2	10.0
1	4	2	4	0	11	3	15.0
2	2	0	1	0	5	4	20.0
3	2	3	2	0	10	5	25.0
3	1	2	1	0	7	6	30.0
3	0	2	1	0	6	7	35.0
2	0	0	1	0	3	8	40.0
1	0	0	2	0	3	9	45.0
0	1	0	1	0	2	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
1	0	1	0	0	2	12	60.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	13	65.0
0	0	2	0	0	2	14	70.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	15	75.0
1	0	1	0	0	2	16	80.0
1	0	1	0	0	2	17	85.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

# 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$\mathrm{SD}^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,688)	1:58:A:TRP:HA	1:62:A:PHE:HZ	20	0.26	0.02	0.26
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD11	1:60:A:HIS:HB2	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD11	1:60:A:HIS:HB3	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD12	1:60:A:HIS:HB2	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD12	1:60:A:HIS:HB3	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD13	1:60:A:HIS:HB2	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD13	1:60:A:HIS:HB3	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD21	1:60:A:HIS:HB2	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD21	1:60:A:HIS:HB3	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD22	1:60:A:HIS:HB2	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD22	1:60:A:HIS:HB3	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD23	1:60:A:HIS:HB2	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1101)	1:59:A:LEU:HD23	1:60:A:HIS:HB3	20	0.22	0.04	0.22
(1,1154)	1:69:A:LEU:HA	1:71:A:LEU:HD11	18	0.16	0.03	0.16
(1,1154)	1:69:A:LEU:HA	1:71:A:LEU:HD12	18	0.16	0.03	0.16
(1,1154)	1:69:A:LEU:HA	1:71:A:LEU:HD13	18	0.16	0.03	0.16



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1154)	1:69:A:LEU:HA	1:71:A:LEU:HD21	18	0.16	0.03	0.16
(1,1154)	1:69:A:LEU:HA	1:71:A:LEU:HD22	18	0.16	0.03	0.16
(1,1154)	1:69:A:LEU:HA	1:71:A:LEU:HD23	18	0.16	0.03	0.16
(1,161)	1:80:A:LEU:HG	1:81:A:ALA:H	18	0.16	0.03	0.15
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD2	17	0.31	0.07	0.28
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD3	17	0.31	0.07	0.28
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD11	1:30:A:GLN:HG2	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD11	1:30:A:GLN:HG3	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD12	1:30:A:GLN:HG2	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD12	1:30:A:GLN:HG3	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD13	1:30:A:GLN:HG2	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD13	1:30:A:GLN:HG3	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD21	1:30:A:GLN:HG2	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD21	1:30:A:GLN:HG3	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD22	1:30:A:GLN:HG2	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD22	1:30:A:GLN:HG3	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD23	1:30:A:GLN:HG2	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,929)	1:28:A:LEU:HD23	1:30:A:GLN:HG3	17	0.17	0.04	0.17
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD11	1:43:A:SER:HB2	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD11	1:43:A:SER:HB3	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD12	1:43:A:SER:HB2	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD12	1:43:A:SER:HB3	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD13	1:43:A:SER:HB2	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD13	1:43:A:SER:HB3	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD21	1:43:A:SER:HB2	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD21	1:43:A:SER:HB3	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD22	1:43:A:SER:HB2	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD22	1:43:A:SER:HB3	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD23	1:43:A:SER:HB2	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,996)	1:39:A:LEU:HD23	1:43:A:SER:HB3	16	0.2	0.06	0.22
(1,721)	1:64:A:LYS:HA	1:64:A:LYS:HD2	16	0.17	0.05	0.16
(1,721)	1:64:A:LYS:HA	1:64:A:LYS:HD3	16	0.17	0.05	0.16
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG2	1:32:A:LEU:HD11	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG2	1:32:A:LEU:HD12	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG2	1:32:A:LEU:HD13	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG2	1:32:A:LEU:HD21	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG2	1:32:A:LEU:HD22	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG2	1:32:A:LEU:HD23	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG3	1:32:A:LEU:HD11	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG3	1:32:A:LEU:HD12	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG3	1:32:A:LEU:HD13	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG3	1:32:A:LEU:HD21	15	0.15	0.03	0.14



Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	${ m SD}^1 \ ( m \AA)$	Median (Å)
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG3	1:32:A:LEU:HD22	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,956)	1:31:A:GLU:HG3	1:32:A:LEU:HD23	15	0.15	0.03	0.14
(1,1102)	1:59:A:LEU:HD11	1:61:A:TRP:HB2	14	0.15	0.02	0.14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD2	14	0.42
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD3	14	0.42



### Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD2	1	0.4
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD3	1	0.4
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD2	13	0.4
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD3	13	0.4
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD2	16	0.4
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD3	16	0.4
(1,17)	1:89:A:SER:HB2	1:91:A:SER:H	16	0.4
(1,17)	1:89:A:SER:HB3	1:91:A:SER:H	16	0.4
(1,1238)	1:90:A:ARG:H	1:90:A:ARG:HG2	20	0.39
(1,1238)	1:90:A:ARG:H	1:90:A:ARG:HG3	20	0.39
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD2	8	0.39
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD3	8	0.39
(1,686)	1:15:A:ASN:HA	1:16:A:ARG:HG2	19	0.39
(1,686)	1:15:A:ASN:HA	1:16:A:ARG:HG3	19	0.39
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD2	18	0.36
(1,826)	1:57:A:ARG:HA	1:57:A:ARG:HD3	18	0.36
(1,1234)	1:88:A:LEU:HD11	1:89:A:SER:HA	7	0.35



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


# 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vie	olated	3	Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	68	48.9	13	19.1	9.4	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	71	51.1	26	36.6	18.7	1	1.4	0.7
Total	139	100.0	39	28.1	28.1	1	0.7	0.7

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




# 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Num	ber o	f violations	Moon (°)	Mov (°)	SD (°)	Modian (°)
Wiodei 1D	PHI	PSI	Total	$Mean (^{\circ})$	$\mathbf{Max} (^{\circ})$	$\mathbf{SD}$ (°)	$\mid$ Median (°) $\mid$
1	2	7	9	2.39	3.7	0.59	2.28
2	3	8	11	2.81	6.49	1.84	2.05
3	0	9	9	1.92	3.19	0.56	1.84
4	3	6	9	1.62	4.42	1.01	1.25
5	1	7	8	2.43	4.26	0.88	2.29
6	2	9	11	2.03	4.5	1.14	1.37
7	1	8	9	1.84	3.61	0.9	1.49
8	3	8	11	2.02	3.78	0.98	1.6
9	2	11	13	2.02	3.64	0.85	2.11
10	4	9	13	2.1	5.36	1.24	1.5
11	1	7	8	2.15	3.41	0.74	2.22
12	2	9	11	2.48	3.92	0.61	2.35
13	1	5	6	2.41	3.95	0.84	2.16
14	4	7	11	1.65	2.97	0.62	1.37
15	2	6	8	2.2	5.32	1.28	1.96
16	1	7	8	1.91	4.56	1.13	1.44
17	3	7	10	2.33	3.99	0.94	2.08
18	4	8	12	2.44	5.03	1.07	2.31
19	2	8	10	1.66	2.9	0.66	1.39
20	1	7	8	2.32	3.94	0.93	2.0



#### 10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

# 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble		
PHI	PSI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%	
5	6	11	1	5.0	
1	3	4	2	10.0	
3	4	7	3	15.0	
1	3	4	4	20.0	
1	3	4	5	25.0	
1	0	1	6	30.0	
0	1	1	7	35.0	
0	0	0	8	40.0	
0	0	0	9	45.0	
0	0	0	10	50.0	
1	0	1	11	55.0	




Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble		
PHI	PSI	Total	$Count^1$	%	
0	1	1	12	60.0	
0	1	1	13	65.0	
0	0	0	14	70.0	
0	1	1	15	75.0	
0	0	0	16	80.0	
0	1	1	17	85.0	
0	1	1	18	90.0	
0	0	0	19	95.0	
0	1	1	20	100.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

#### 10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}^2$	Median
(1,117)	1:78:A:PRO:N	1:78:A:PRO:CA	1:78:A:PRO:C	1:79:A:THR:N	20	1.72	0.37	1.82
(1,86)	1:62:A:PHE:N	1:62:A:PHE:CA	1:62:A:PHE:C	1:63:A:ARG:N	18	2.87	1.12	2.82
(1,66)	1:49:A:GLY:N	1:49:A:GLY:CA	1:49:A:GLY:C	1:50:A:LEU:N	17	2.58	0.55	2.46
(1,3)	1:17:A:HIS:N	1:17:A:HIS:CA	1:17:A:HIS:C	1:18:A:ALA:N	15	3.24	1.26	3.02
(1,54)	1:43:A:SER:N	1:43:A:SER:CA	1:43:A:SER:C	1:44:A:ASN:N	13	2.36	0.79	2.26
(1,5)	1:18:A:ALA:N	1:18:A:ALA:CA	1:18:A:ALA:C	1:19:A:ALA:N	12	2.93	1.5	2.94
(1,49)	1:40:A:HIS:C	1:41:A:GLU:N	1:41:A:GLU:CA	1:41:A:GLU:C	11	2.03	0.83	2.05
(1,139)	1:89:A:SER:N	1:89:A:SER:CA	1:89:A:SER:C	1:90:A:ARG:N	7	2.05	0.54	1.78
(1,41)	1:36:A:PRO:C	1:37:A:GLN:N	1:37:A:GLN:CA	1:37:A:GLN:C	6	1.62	0.58	1.36
(1,103)	1:70:A:THR:C	1:71:A:LEU:N	1:71:A:LEU:CA	1:71:A:LEU:C	5	2.97	1.67	2.1


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



### 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

#### 10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3 Atom-4		Model ID	Violation (°)	
(1,5)	1:18:A:ALA:N	1:18:A:ALA:CA	1:18:A:ALA:C	1:19:A:ALA:N	2	6.49	
(1,103)	1:70:A:THR:C	1:71:A:LEU:N	1:71:A:LEU:CA	1:71:A:LEU:C	2	5.9	
(1,3)	1:17:A:HIS:N	1:17:A:HIS:CA	1:17:A:HIS:C	1:18:A:ALA:N	10	5.36	
(1,3)	1:17:A:HIS:N	1:17:A:HIS:CA	1:17:A:HIS:C	1:18:A:ALA:N	15	5.32	
(1,3)	1:17:A:HIS:N	1:17:A:HIS:CA	1:17:A:HIS:C	1:18:A:ALA:N	18	5.03	
(1,5)	1:18:A:ALA:N	1:18:A:ALA:CA	1:18:A:ALA:C	1:19:A:ALA:N	16	4.56	
(1,86)	1:62:A:PHE:N	1:62:A:PHE:CA	1:62:A:PHE:C	1:63:A:ARG:N	6	4.5	
(1,5)	1:18:A:ALA:N	1:18:A:ALA:CA	1:18:A:ALA:C	1:19:A:ALA:N	4	4.42	
(1,86)	1:62:A:PHE:N	1:62:A:PHE:CA	1:62:A:PHE:C	1:63:A:ARG:N	2	4.36	
(1,86)	1:62:A:PHE:N	1:62:A:PHE:CA	1:62:A:PHE:C	1:63:A:ARG:N	5	4.26	

