

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Mar 5, 2024 – 08:16 PM JST

PDB ID	:	8IMQ
BMRB ID	:	28093
Title	:	Adaptive mutation is mediated by MsyB via its interaction with nucleoid- associated proteins HU and beta-clamp
		Liu, B.; Chen, H.
Deposited on	:	2023-03-07

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.36

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 91%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$(\# {\rm Entries})$	$(\# { m Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain					
1	А	124	43%	21%	•	32%		



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 1 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues							
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid n							
1	A:2-A:39, A:49-A:94 (84)	0.56	1				

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models				
1	2, 6, 8, 10				
2	1, 5				
Single-model clusters	3; 4; 7; 9				



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1873 atoms, of which 870 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Acidic protein MsyB.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms				Trace	
1	٨	194	Total	С	Н	Ν	0	S	0
	1 A	A 124	1873	619	870	156	223	5	




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Acidic protein MsyB



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Acidic protein MsyB





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *distance geometry*.

Of the 10 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: $all \ calculated \ structures \ submitted.$

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1413
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1413
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	91%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol Cha	Chain	B	ond lengths	Bond angles		
	RMSZ		#Z > 5	RMSZ	#Z > 5	
1	А	$0.37 {\pm} 0.04$	$0{\pm}0/683~(~0.0{\pm}~0.1\%)$	$0.44{\pm}0.02$	$0{\pm}0/927~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	
All	All	0.37	3/6830 ($0.0%$)	0.44	0/9270~(~0.0%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	А	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.1 ± 0.3
All	All	0	1

All unique bond outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	$Observed(\text{\AA})$	$\operatorname{Ideal}(\operatorname{\AA})$	Moo Worst	iels Total
1	А	54	PHE	CE2-CZ	5.28	1.47	1.37	5	3

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	54	PHE	Sidechain	1

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.



Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	670	583	583	18 ± 2
All	All	6700	5830	5830	175

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 14.

5 of 61	unique	clashes	are li	isted	below,	sorted	by thei	r clash	magnitude.	
)					

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:39:LYS:HD2	1:A:94:THR:HG23	0.80	1.53	7	1	
1:A:34:GLN:HG2	1:A:54:PHE:CE1	0.69	2.22	3	9	
1:A:91:GLU:HA	1:A:94:THR:OG1	0.68	1.88	10	6	
1:A:64:LEU:HD23	1:A:89:TRP:CH2	0.64	2.27	7	6	
1:A:84:GLU:O	1:A:88:GLU:HG2	0.60	1.95	3	4	

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Pe	erce	entiles
1	А	84/124~(68%)	$74 \pm 1 \ (88 \pm 2\%)$	$8\pm1~(10\pm2\%)$	2±0 (2±0%)		9	48
All	All	840/1240~(68%)	738 (88%)	83 (10%)	19(2%)		9	48

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	26	ASP	10
1	А	59	GLU	9

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent side chain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the side chain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Pe	erce	entiles
1	А	68/102~(67%)	59 ± 2 (87 $\pm3\%$)	$9\pm2~(13\pm3\%)$		7	48
All	All	680/1020~(67%)	590 (87%)	90 (13%)		7	48

5 of 20 unique residues with a non-rotameric side chain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	7	LEU	10
1	А	11	ILE	10
1	А	22	ASN	10
1	А	33	GLN	10
1	А	49	TRP	10

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 91% for the well-defined parts and 88% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1413
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1413
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	3

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	123	-0.01 ± 0.10	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	114	0.02 ± 0.08	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	107	0.01 ± 0.10	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
^{15}N	118	0.02 ± 0.32	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 91%, i.e. 982 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1074. 0 out of 7 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	413/421~(98%)	170/171~(99%)	162/168~(96%)	81/82~(99%)
Sidechain	498/561~(89%)	340/356~(96%)	147/187~(79%)	11/18~(61%)

Continued on next page...



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Aromatic	71/92~(77%)	37/45~(82%)	32/45~(71%)	2/2~(100%)
Overall	982/1074~(91%)	547/572~(96%)	341/400~(85%)	94/102~(92%)

Continued from previous page...

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	32	VAL	HG21	-0.86	-0.58 - 2.19	-6.0
1	А	32	VAL	HG22	-0.86	-0.58 - 2.19	-6.0
1	А	32	VAL	HG23	-0.86	-0.58 - 2.19	-6.0

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	0
Intra-residue $(i-j =0)$	0
Sequential (i-j =1)	0
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	0
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	192
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	0
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation. There are no distance restraints

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins ($^{\circ}$)	Average number of violations per model	Max $(^{\circ})$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	16.0	9.6

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Bins ($^{\circ}$)	Average number of violations per model	Max ($^{\circ}$)
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	10.9	19.95
>20.0 (Large)	90.3	167.6



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

No distance restraints data found



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

	Count	$\%^1$	Vie	olated	3	Consis	stently	\sim Violated ⁴
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	96	50.0	67	69.8	34.9	35	36.5	18.2
PSI	96	50.0	78	81.2	40.6	61	63.5	31.8
Total	192	100.0	145	75.5	75.5	96	50.0	50.0

 1 percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	M_{ov} (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)	
Model ID	PHI	PSI	Total	Mean ()	Max (°)	SD ()		
1	47	71	118	78.9	167.6	54.63	84.15	
2	48	67	115	80.02	167.07	53.6	80.4	
3	47	69	116	79.06	167.03	53.59	79.46	
4	48	70	118	76.58	164.67	55.95	72.74	
5	53	71	124	74.04	167.32	55.22	67.0	
6	47	71	118	77.06	167.09	54.2	75.19	
7	44	71	115	78.48	166.45	54.2	80.92	
8	46	68	114	80.58	166.95	54.58	82.59	
9	53	68	121	74.81	166.99	54.98	68.76	
10	46	67	113	81.05	165.35	53.96	90.73	

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the \mathbf{y} axis on the right




10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	iber o	f violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PHI	PSI	Total	Count^1	%
8	0	8	1	10.0
3	4	7	2	20.0
1	2	3	3	30.0
7	3	10	4	40.0
3	2	5	5	50.0
4	0	4	6	60.0
3	3	6	7	70.0
3	1	4	8	80.0
0	2	2	9	90.0
35	61	96	10	100.0

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)








10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,61)	1:77:A:ASP:C	1:78:A:GLY:N	1:78:A:GLY:CA	1:78:A:GLY:C	10	166.56	0.84	166.97
(1,111)	1:23:A:PRO:N	1:23:A:PRO:CA	1:23:A:PRO:C	1:24:A:GLY:N	10	164.22	2.06	164.26
(1,159)	1:80:A:TYR:N	1:80:A:TYR:CA	1:80:A:TYR:C	1:81:A:ASP:N	10	158.77	4.49	158.18
(1,165)	1:86:A:ARG:N	1:86:A:ARG:CA	1:86:A:ARG:C	1:87:A:GLN:N	10	156.56	1.86	157.22
(1,52)	1:63:A:CYS:C	1:64:A:LEU:N	1:64:A:LEU:CA	1:64:A:LEU:C	10	155.95	6.55	156.6
(1,116)	1:29:A:ASP:N	1:29:A:ASP:CA	1:29:A:ASP:C	1:30:A:ALA:N	10	152.51	0.82	152.32
(1,153)	1:73:A:GLN:N	1:73:A:GLN:CA	1:73:A:GLN:C	1:74:A:SER:N	10	152.45	3.58	153.6
(1,128)	1:43:A:GLN:N	1:43:A:GLN:CA	1:43:A:GLN:C	1:44:A:ASP:N	10	151.89	7.87	151.86
(1,16)	1:23:A:PRO:C	1:24:A:GLY:N	1:24:A:GLY:CA	1:24:A:GLY:C	10	151.76	0.44	151.64
(1,67)	1:83:A:ILE:C	1:84:A:GLU:N	1:84:A:GLU:CA	1:84:A:GLU:C	10	151.24	4.8	151.94


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,61)	1:77:A:ASP:C	1:78:A:GLY:N	1:78:A:GLY:CA	1:78:A:GLY:C	1	167.6
(1,111)	1:23:A:PRO:N	1:23:A:PRO:CA	1:23:A:PRO:C	1:24:A:GLY:N	5	167.32
(1,159)	1:80:A:TYR:N	1:80:A:TYR:CA	1:80:A:TYR:C	1:81:A:ASP:N	6	167.09
(1,61)	1:77:A:ASP:C	1:78:A:GLY:N	1:78:A:GLY:CA	1:78:A:GLY:C	2	167.07
(1,61)	1:77:A:ASP:C	1:78:A:GLY:N	1:78:A:GLY:CA	1:78:A:GLY:C	3	167.03
(1,61)	1:77:A:ASP:C	1:78:A:GLY:N	1:78:A:GLY:CA	1:78:A:GLY:C	5	166.99
(1,61)	1:77:A:ASP:C	1:78:A:GLY:N	1:78:A:GLY:CA	1:78:A:GLY:C	9	166.99
(1,61)	1:77:A:ASP:C	1:78:A:GLY:N	1:78:A:GLY:CA	1:78:A:GLY:C	8	166.95
(1,111)	1:23:A:PRO:N	1:23:A:PRO:CA	1:23:A:PRO:C	1:24:A:GLY:N	1	166.61
(1,61)	1:77:A:ASP:C	1:78:A:GLY:N	1:78:A:GLY:CA	1:78:A:GLY:C	6	166.53

